



GODBE RESEARCH
Gain Insight



DONNER SUMMIT AREA ASSOCIATION

Visioning and Planning Resident Survey

November 2007

Table of Contents

Overview and Research Objectives	3
Methodology Overview	4
Key Findings	6
▪ Resident Status: Full-time vs. Part-time	6
▪ Overall Quality of Life	7
▪ Most Liked Features	8
▪ Most Important Issues	10
▪ Satisfaction with Local Resources	11
▪ Population Growth	12
▪ Support for Development Directions	14
▪ Road Conditions and Traffic Flow	16
▪ Support for Traffic Management Projects	18
▪ Importance of Service Improvements	20
▪ Outdoor Recreational Activities	22
▪ Recreational Locations	23
▪ Awareness of DSAA	24
▪ Preferred Information Source	25
Summary	26
Conclusions	27
Respondent Demographics	29
Appendix A: Methodology	
Appendix B: Topline Report	
Appendix C: Questionnaire	
Appendix D: Crosstabulation Tables	

- The research objectives of this study were to:
 - Establish a baseline measure of satisfaction with the quality of life in the Donner Summit area;
 - Survey community members' opinions of residential and commercial development directions;
 - Assess opinions of road conditions and traffic flow, and survey support for a variety of traffic management projects;
 - Assess the importance of specific residential service improvements to community members;
 - Gather information on outdoor activities and location;
 - Measure awareness of the Donner Summit Area Association; and
 - Identify any differences in responses due to demographic characteristics.

Methodology Overview

- Data Collection Mail-Out and Mail-Back Surveys
- Universe Approximately 1,500 Households in the Donner Summit area
- Fielding Dates August 16 to October 1, 2007
- Interview Length 4 pages
- Sample Size 582 Households
- Margin of Error Overall sample = $\pm 3.2\%$


Methodology Overview

- Key Segmentation Analyses Highlighted in Report:
 - Resident Status (Full-time versus Part-time)

- Additional Segmentation Analyses Available in Appendix D:
 - Age
 - Household Income
 - Length of Residence
 - Outdoor Recreational Activities


Resident Status: Full-time vs. Part-time

Approximately 11 percent of the survey respondents indicated that they are a full-time resident of the Donner Summit area, whereas 88 percent indicated that they are part-time residents. The remaining 1 percent did not respond to the question (NR).




Overall Quality of Life

Donner Summit community members, on average, have a highly positive opinion of the quality of life in the area. Approximately 92 percent of the community members surveyed indicate being at least “Somewhat Satisfied” with the overall quality of life in the Donner Summit area. Further, two-thirds reported being “Very Satisfied,” and just 6 percent reported being dissatisfied. The remaining 2 percent did not respond to the question (NR). Godbe Research has asked this question in surveys for a number of California regions in recent years, and the overall satisfaction level indicated by Donner Summit community members places it among the top 10 percent of those surveyed. No significant differences were observed between full-time and part-time residents in their responses to this question.



Most Liked Features

Community members were asked to name the one thing they like most about the Donner Summit area. “Natural environment” topped the list, at 55 percent, followed by “Outdoor activities” and “Rural atmosphere” at 19 percent and 13 percent respectively. The environment and local outdoor activities emerged as themes throughout the survey.



Most Liked Features

Demographic Differences


	Resident Status	
	Full-time	Part-time
Natural environment	42%	56%
Outdoor activities	18%	19%
Rural atmosphere	19%	13%
Sense of community	5%	4%
Climate	6%	4%
High quality of life	8%	3%
Other	2%	1%
NR	0%	1%

Significantly higher scores or percentages within a demographic group are highlighted in **BLUE**

The part-time residents indicated that they most like the “Natural environment” (56%) significantly more than the full-time residents (42%), whereas the full-time residents (8%) reported “High quality of life” more than the part-time residents (3%).


Most Important Issues

Roughly two-thirds of the survey respondents reported that “Regulating growth and development” is the most important issue facing the Donner Summit area in the next five years. A somewhat related issue, “Protecting the environment” was indicated the next most frequently, at 19 percent. Although community members may disagree in their opinion of these issues, these results suggest a strong consensus that these are the top two issues facing the area in the near future. No significant differences were observed between full-time and part-time residents in the most frequently indicated issues.



Satisfaction with Local Resources


The community members were presented with a list of 5 local resources, and asked to indicate their level of satisfaction with each. Responses were coded and averaged, such that a higher score indicates that the community is more satisfied (see Appendix A for a full description). Of the items tested, community members, on average, are most satisfied with the quality of their local neighborhoods; a score of 1.4 indicates that the level is between “Somewhat Satisfied” and “Very Satisfied.” In contrast, community members, on average, are the relatively least satisfied with the availability of businesses and professional services. Additionally, the part-time residents reported being significantly more satisfied with the quality of County services than the full-time residents, with average scores of 1.0 and 0.6, respectively.



Please note: the above chart does not contain the exact language used in the questionnaire, please see Appendix C for the precise wording of the items.

Population Growth

When asked to rate the population growth in the Donner Summit area, 46 percent of the community members surveyed indicated that it is occurring too fast, 45 percent indicated that it is occurring at the right pace, and 3 percent indicated that it is occurring too slow. These results, as well as others throughout the survey, suggest that the Donner Summit community has a negative opinion of population growth and development, and they are concerned about the impact that it may have on the environment and the features they value about the area.



Population Growth Regional Differences


	Resident Status	
	Full-time	Part-time
Too slow	8%	3%
Too fast	45%	46%
At the right pace	39%	46%
NR	8%	5%

Significantly higher scores or percentages within a demographic group are highlighted in BLUE

Significantly more of the full-time residents (8%) than the part-time residents (3%) indicated that growth is occurring “Too slow.” In interpreting this difference, it is important to note that an overwhelming majority of both resident groups indicated that growth is occurring either “Too fast” or “At the right pace.”

Support for Development Directions

Community members were asked to indicate their support for 10 development directions, and responses were coded and averaged, such that a higher score indicates that the community is more supportive (see Appendix A for a full description). Overall, the community expressed the strongest support for prioritizing the preservation of the environment over development, as well as restricting development to limit growth. Moderate support was shown for the development of year-round businesses and single family homes, whereas moderate opposition was shown for recreational businesses. Finally, community members expressed the strongest opposition to high-density housing projects, such as condominiums and time-shares.



Please note: the above chart does not contain the exact language used in the questionnaire, please see Appendix C for the precise wording of the items.

Support for Development Directions

Demographic Differences

	Resident Status	
	Full-time	Part-time
Prioritizing preservation of the environment over commercial and residential developments	1.3	1.7
Restriction of commercial development projects to limit growth	0.7	1.2
Restriction of residential development projects to limit growth	0.8	1.1
Development of year-round businesses	0.8	0.4
Development of single-family homes	0.4	0.2


	Resident Status	
	Full-time	Part-time
Development of additional alpine ski-lifts	-0.4	-0.4
Development of recreational and tourist-driven businesses	-0.1	-0.5
Resort-style developments, such as lodges and hotels	-0.8	-1.0
Development of condominiums and townhomes	-1.2	-1.4
Development of fractional ownership residences and time-shares	-1.6	-1.5

Significantly higher scores or percentages within a demographic group are highlighted in BLUE

Shown in the above table are the five development directions that received the relatively highest support overall and the five development directions that received the relatively highest opposition overall. Regarding resident status, the part-time residents indicated significantly stronger support for prioritizing preservation of the environment and restricting commercial and residential development than the full-time residents. In contrast, support for the development of year-round businesses is significantly stronger among the full-time residents than the part-time residents.

Road Conditions and Traffic Flow

On average, community members have a somewhat negative opinion of road conditions and traffic flow during peak travel days, such as winter weekends and summer holidays. As shown below, 28 percent rated the conditions as “Good,” 40 percent rated them as “Fair,” and 27 percent rated them as “Poor.”



Road Conditions and Traffic Flow

Demographic Differences


	Resident Status	
	Full-time	Part-time
Excellent	5%	3%
Good	18%	30%
Fair	29%	41%
Poor	47%	25%
NR	2%	2%

Significantly higher scores or percentages within a demographic group are highlighted in **BLUE**

Overall, the part-time residents have a more positive opinion of road conditions and traffic flow than the full-time residents. Specifically, significantly more of the part-time residents than the full-time residents rated these conditions as “Good,” whereas significantly more of the full-time residents rated these conditions as “Poor.”

Support for Traffic Management Projects

Community members were asked to indicate their support for 9 traffic management projects, and responses were coded and averaged, such that a higher score indicates that the community is more supportive (see Appendix A for a full description). Overall, the community expressed the strongest support for public transportation, including resort shuttle bus service, an extension of the Capitol Corridor train line, and an extension of the Truckee public transit system. In contrast, the community expressed the relatively strongest opposition to I-80 expansion projects, such as increasing the number of lanes on Donner Pass Road at I-80 and the addition of a freeway access point.



Please note: the above chart does not contain the exact language used in the questionnaire, please see Appendix C for the precise wording of the items.

Support for Traffic Management Projects

Regional and Demographic Differences


	Resident Status	
	Full-time	Part-time
The reinstatement of resort shuttle bus service during the winter and summer holiday weekends.	1.7	1.3
An extension of the Truckee public transit system to serve the Donner Summit area.	1.3	0.8
The addition of traffic management personnel at high-use intersections, such as Soda Springs Road and Donner Pass Road.	1.0	0.3

Significantly higher scores or percentages within a demographic group are highlighted in BLUE

The full-time residents showed significantly stronger support for the reinstatement of resort shuttle bus service, an extension of the Truckee public transit system, and the addition of traffic management personnel than the part-time residents. No significant demographic differences were observed in responses to the items that have been excluded from the above table.

Importance of Service Improvements

Of the 10 improvements and additions to residential services tested, the survey respondents rated surveying water quality and water supply limits as the relatively most important. In a second tier were improving emergency communication services and improving road maintenance and repair. Scores indicate that the community, on average, feels that these service improvements are between “Somewhat Important” and “Very Important.”



Please note: the above chart does not contain the exact language used in the questionnaire, please see Appendix C for the precise wording of the items.

Importance of Service Improvements

Regional and Demographic Differences

	Resident Status	
	Full-time	Part-time
Making high speed Internet access available.	2.4	1.8
Improving cable or satellite television service.	1.7	1.4

Significantly higher scores or percentages within a demographic group are highlighted in BLUE

The full-time residents rated high speed Internet access and improving cable or satellite television as significantly more important than the part-time residents. No significant demographic differences were observed in responses to the items that have been excluded from the above table.

Outdoor Recreational Activities


Nearly all Donner Summit community members participate in outdoor recreational activities, with hiking (91%) Alpine skiing (79%), and swimming (72%) being the most frequently indicated. These results are consistent with the appreciation of the natural environment and the high level of support for environmental preservation that was observed in responses to other survey questions.

In interpreting these results, please note that this question was presented in a multiple response format. Each respondent was asked to check all of the activities that they or members of their household participate in around the Donner Summit area. For this reason, the response percentages shown in the adjacent table sum to more than 100, and they represent the percent of individuals who indicated a particular activity, rather than the percent of total responses.

Hiking	91%
Alpine skiing	79%
Swimming	72%
Cross-country skiing	64%
Kayaking or canoeing	61%
Snow-shoeing	52%
Mountain biking	51%
Fishing	47%
Boating	45%
Sledding	41%
Road biking	39%
Snowboarding	37%
Running	33%
Rock climbing	17%
Off-road driving, including ATV's	17%
Snowmobiling	8%
Hunting	6%
Horseback riding	5%
Other	8%
NR	1%


Recreational Locations

The survey respondents most frequently go to forest service land (76%), public land (74%), and resorts or other commercially owned land (65%) to participate in outdoor activities. In contrast to these, approximately 13 percent of the respondents go to private land of which they do not know the owner and 12 percent do not know the designation of the land. These results suggest that the regulation of the use of their land and trespassing are legitimate challenges for local land owners.




Please note: the above chart does not contain the exact language used in the questionnaire, please see Appendix C for the precise wording of the items.

Awareness of DSAA




Approximately 60 percent of the survey respondents had heard of DSAA prior to completing the survey. Although a majority of the community was already aware of DSAA, the survey packets most likely increased awareness of the association.



Of the respondents who indicated that they were aware of DSAA (n = 347), 2 out of 5 indicated that they, or a member of their household, had visited the official DSAA website. This results suggests that the website is fairly established among the community members who are aware of the association. However, efforts should be taken to increase use of the website, particularly given that an overwhelming majority of households have Internet access, and over half rely on online information sources (see following page).

Preferred Information Source

A majority of community members indicated that their most preferred information source for finding out what is going on in the Donner Summit area is either an online source or email.



Summary

- **Most Liked Features:**
 - Natural Environment
 - Outdoor Activities
 - Rural Atmosphere
- **Most Important Issues:**
 - Regulating growth and development
 - Protecting the environment
- **Support for Development Directions:**
 - Prioritize preservation of the environment over development
 - Restrict development projects to limit growth
 - Development of year-round businesses
- **Traffic Flow and Traffic Management Projects:**
 - Reinstate resort shuttle bus service during peak weekends
 - Expand public transportation to the area
- **Service Improvements:**
 - Surveying water quality and water supply limits
 - Improve emergency communication services
 - Improve road maintenance and repair

Conclusions

The results indicate that community members of Donner Summit, on average, have a highly positive opinion of the quality of life in the area. Approximately 92 percent of the surveyed community reported being at least somewhat satisfied with the overall quality of life. Godbe Research has asked this question in surveys for a number of California regions in recent years, and the overall satisfaction level indicated by the Donner Summit community places it among the top 10 percent of those surveyed. Additionally, 86 percent of the surveyed community reported being at least somewhat satisfied with the quality of their local neighborhood.

The natural environment, outdoor activities, and rural atmosphere were at the top of the list of most liked features of living in the Donner Summit area. Additional questions related to the environment and outdoor recreation reinforced the value that community members place in these features. Specifically, regulating growth and development and protecting the environment emerged as the two most important issues facing the area. Community members also showed the strongest support for prioritizing environmental preservation in the development directions tested. Finally, nearly all of the Donner Summit households that responded to the survey participate in outdoor recreational activities.

Approximately half of the community indicated that population growth in the Donner Summit area is occurring too fast, whereas roughly the other half of the community indicated that it is occurring at the right pace. In line with this negative opinion of population growth, the results indicate that community members are between somewhat opposed and strongly opposed to high-density development, including fractional ownership residences, condominiums and townhomes, and resort-style developments. Despite their strong interest in outdoor recreational activities, the community was also between somewhat opposed and neutral regarding the development of recreational businesses and additional alpine ski-lifts.

Although the community supports the restriction of commercial and residential development projects to limit growth, some support was observed for the development of year-round businesses. This finding is in line with the lower satisfaction with the availability of businesses and professional services in the Donner Summit area.

Conclusions

Similar to the results of a number of the surveys we have conducted in other regions of California, Donner Summit community members have a somewhat negative opinion of road conditions and traffic flow during peak travel days. When asked to indicate their support for 9 traffic management projects, the community expressed the strongest support for public transportation, such as an extension of the Capitol Corridor train line and an extension of the Truckee public transit system. The most supported project was the reinstatement of resort shuttle bus service during the winter and summer holiday weekends.


The study also assessed the importance of a variety of residential service improvements and additions. The community, as a group, prioritize basic services, such as surveying water supply limits, improving emergency communication services, and improving road maintenance and repair, above residential utilities, such as high speed Internet, making natural gas available, and improving cable or satellite television service. Most of the service improvements and additions tested were rated, on average, as at least somewhat important.

The survey also gathered information on community members' preferred information sources. The study revealed that a majority of community members prefer to get their information on what is going on in the Donner Summit area through online sources or email, which largely reflects the available sources. These results suggest that there is great opportunity for DSAA to inform the community of its official website, which should increase usage and, in turn, its importance as an information source. Approximately 60 percent of the survey respondents had heard of DSAA prior to taking the survey; however, only 2 in 5 of those aware of DSAA reported having visited the website.

Respondent Demographics

Area of Residence


What Donner Summit area community do you live in?



Respondent Demographics

Length of Residence/Visiting


How many years have you lived in, or visited, the Donner Summit area?



Respondent Demographics

Homeownership Status


Do you own or rent your place of residence in the Donner Summit area?



Respondent Demographics

Age


What is your age?



Respondent Demographics

Household Income


Which of the following best describes your total household income, before taxes, in 2006?



Respondent Demographics

Internet Access


Data from Question 14 was recoded to calculate the percent of households with Internet access.



Respondent Demographics

Location of Primary Residence Among Part-timers


If the Donner Summit area is not your primary residence, please answer the following:
Where is your primary residence?



Respondent Demographics

Days in Donner Summit Among Part-timers


If the Donner Summit area is not your primary residence, please answer the following:
On average, how many days per year do you, or members of your household, spend in the Donner Summit area?



Respondent Demographics

Use of Unoccupied Residences Among Part-timers

If the Donner Summit area is not your primary residence, please answer the following:
If you own your residence in the Donner Summit area, do you rent or loan it to others when you, or members of your household, are not using it?





GODBE RESEARCH
Gain Insight



Appendix A: Methodology

Survey Methodology

For this study, Godbe Research designed the questionnaire and survey packets, and DSAA compiled the mailing list and sent the survey packets. Survey responses were sent to DSAA, and then forwarded, unopened, to Godbe Research. To minimize fraudulent surveys, the surveys were printed on golden paper to deter reproduction by recipients, and surveys were also numbered according to household. Eight survey respondents removed their household number, an insufficient number to significantly effect the overall results of the study. Survey packets consisted of a cover letter introducing DSAA and the purpose of the survey, a two page, double-sided survey, and a postage paid return envelop.

Overall, 582 households responded to the survey, representing a universe of approximately 1,500 households in the Donner Summit area (response rate = 39%). The study parameters resulted in a margin of error of plus or minus 3.2 percent for the overall sample. Data collection took place from August 16 to October 1, 2007.

Margin of Error I

Because a survey typically involves a limited number of people who are part of a larger population group, by mere chance alone there will almost always be some differences between a sample and the population from which it was drawn. These differences are known as “sampling error” and they are expected to occur regardless of how scientifically the sample has been selected. The advantage of a scientific sample is that we are able to calculate the sampling error. Sampling error is determined by four factors: the population size, the sample size, a confidence level, and the dispersion of responses.

The table on the following page shows the possible sampling variation that applies to a percent result reported from a probability type sample. Because the sample of 582 respondents was drawn from the estimated population of approximately 1,500 households in the Donner Summit area, one can be 95 percent confident that the margin of error due to sampling will not vary, plus or minus, by more than the indicated number of percent points from the result that would have been obtained if the interviews had been conducted with all persons in the universe. As the table on the following page indicates, the maximum margin of error for all aggregate responses is between 1.9 and 3.2 percent for the survey.

This means that, for a given question with dichotomous response options (e.g., Yes/No) answered by all 582 respondents, one can be 95 percent confident that the difference between the percent breakdowns of the sample and those of the total population is no greater than 3.2 percent. The percent margin of error applies to both sides of the answer, so that for a question in which 50 percent of respondents said yes, one can be 95 percent confident that the actual percent of the population that would say yes is between 47 (50 minus 3.2) percent and 53 (50 plus 3.2) percent.

The margin of error for a given question also depends on the distribution of responses to the question. The 3.2 percent refers to dichotomous questions where opinions are evenly split in the sample with 50 percent of respondents saying yes and 50 percent saying no. If that same question were to receive a response in which 10 percent of the respondents say yes and 90 percent say no, then the margin of error would be no greater than plus or minus 1.9 percent. As the number of respondents in a particular subgroup (e.g., age) is smaller than the number of total respondents, the margin of error associated with estimating a given subgroup’s response will be higher. Due to the high margin of error, Godbe Research cautions against generalizing the results for subgroups that are composed of 25 or fewer respondents.

Margin of Error II

n	Distribution of Responses				
	90% / 10%	80% / 20%	70% / 30%	60% / 40%	50% / 50%
1000	1.1%	1.4%	1.6%	1.8%	1.8%
900	1.2%	1.7%	1.9%	2.0%	2.1%
800	1.4%	1.9%	2.2%	2.3%	2.4%
750	1.5%	2.0%	2.3%	2.5%	2.5%
750	1.5%	2.0%	2.3%	2.5%	2.5%
600	1.9%	2.5%	2.8%	3.0%	3.1%
582	1.9%	2.5%	2.9%	3.1%	3.2%
500	2.1%	2.9%	3.3%	3.5%	3.6%
400	2.5%	3.4%	3.8%	4.1%	4.2%
300	3.0%	4.0%	4.6%	5.0%	5.1%
250	3.4%	4.5%	5.2%	5.5%	5.7%
200	3.9%	5.2%	5.9%	6.3%	6.5%
100	5.7%	7.6%	8.7%	9.3%	9.5%

Reading Crosstabulation

The questions discussed and analyzed in this report comprise a subset of various cross-tabulation tables available for each question. Only those subgroups that are of particular interest or that illustrate particular insights are included in the discussion. Should readers wish to conduct a closer analysis of subgroups for a given question, the complete breakdowns appear in Appendix D. These crosstabulation tables provide detailed information on the responses to each question by demographic groups that were assessed in the survey. A typical crosstabulation table, *from our previous research*, is shown here.

A short description of the item appears on the left-hand side of the table. The item sample size (n = 500) is presented in the first column of data under "Total."

The results to each possible answer choice of all respondents are presented in the first column of data under "Total." The aggregate number of respondents in each answer category is presented as a whole number, and the percent of the entire sample that this number represents is just below the whole number. In this example, among the total respondents, 88 voters stated that they would vote "Definitely Yes" on the measure, and this number of respondents equals 18 percent of the total sample size of 500. Next to the "Total" column are other columns representing responses from men and women. The data from these columns are read in exactly the same fashion as the data in the "Total" column, although each group makes up a smaller percent of the entire sample.

		Gender		
		Total	Male	Female
EXAMPLE FROM PREVIOUS RESEARCH If the election were held today, would you vote yes or no on this measure?	Total	500	316	385
	Definitely Yes	88	45	44
		17.7%	20.2%	15.6%
	Probably Yes	133	55	78
		26.6%	25.0%	27.9%
	Probably No	75	26	50
		15.1%	11.6%	17.8%
Definitely No	165	76	89	
	33.1%	34.7%	31.8%	
DK/NA	38	19	20	
	7.6%	8.5%	7.0%	

Subgroup Comparisons

To test whether or not the differences found in percent results among subgroups are likely due to actual differences in opinions or behaviors – rather than the results of chance due to the random nature of the sampling design – a “z-test” was performed. In the headings of each column are labels, “A,” “B,” “C,” etc. along with a description of the variable. The “z-test” is performed by comparing the percent in each cell with all other cells in the same row within a given variable (within Gender in the pictured table, for example).

The results from the “z-test” are displayed in a separate table adjacent to the crosstabulation table. If the percent in one cell is statistically different from the percent in another, the column label will be displayed in the cell from which it varies significantly. For instance, in the adjacent table, a significantly higher percent of the men (35%) report “Definitely No” than the percent of women (32%); the letter “B,” which stands for Female appears under Column “A,” which stands for Male. The letters in the table indicate the differences for which one can be 95 percent confident that the results are due to actual differences in opinions or behaviors reported by subgroups of respondents.

It is important to note that the percent difference among subgroups is just one piece in the equation to determine whether or not two percents are significantly different from each other. The variance associated with each data point is integral to determining significance. Therefore, two calculations may be different from each other according to the percent reported, yet the difference may not be statistically significant according to the “z” statistic.

		Gender		
		Total	Male	Female
EXAMPLE FROM PREVIOUS RESEARCH	Total	500	221	280
	Definitely Yes	88 17.7%	45 20.2%	44 15.6%
	Probably Yes	133 26.6%	55 25.0%	78 27.9%
	Probably No	75 15.1%	26 11.6%	50 17.8%
	Definitely No	165 33.1%	76 34.7%	89 31.8%
	DK/NA	38 7.6%	19 8.5%	20 7.0%

	Gender	
	Male	Female
	(A)	(B)
Definitely Yes		
Probably Yes		
Probably No		
Definitely No	B	
DK/NA		

Understanding a Mean

In addition to the analysis of the percent of the responses, some results are discussed with respect to a descriptive mean. Means are the arithmetic averages of responses. To derive respondents' overall perception of the importance of a given service improvement, Q10 for example, a number value is first assigned to each response category (in this case, "Very Important" = 3.0 to "Not at all Important" = 0.0). The individual answer of each respondent is then assigned the corresponding number – from 3.0 to 0.0 in this example. Finally, all respondents' answers are averaged to produce a final score that reflects overall importance. The resulting mean makes the interpretation of the data considerably easier.

In the Crosstabulation tables, as well as in some tables and charts throughout the presentation, for Questions 4, 7, 9, and 10 of the survey, the reader will find mean scores. These mean scores represent the average response of each group. The adjacent table shows the scales for each corresponding question. Respondents who did not indicate an answer to the question were not included in the calculations of the means for any question.

Question	Measure	Scale	Values
Q5	Satisfaction Ratings	-2 to +2	+2.0 = "Very Satisfied" +1.0 = "Somewhat Satisfied" -1.0 = "Somewhat Dissatisfied" -2.0 = "Very Dissatisfied"
Q7 and Q9	Support Ratings	-2 to +2	+2.0 = "Strongly Support" +1.0 = "Somewhat Support" -1.0 = "Somewhat Oppose" -2.0 = "Strongly Oppose"
Q10	Importance Rating	0 to +3	+3.0 = "Very Important" +2.0 = "Somewhat Important" +1.0 = "Not too Important" 0.0 = "Not at all Important"

Means Comparisons

Only those subgroups that are of particular interest, or that illustrate a particular insight, are included in the discussion within the report with regard to mean scores. A typical crosstabulation table of mean scores, from our previous research, is shown in the adjacent table.

The aggregate mean score for each item in the question series is presented in the first column of the data under "Total." For example, among all the survey respondents, the issue 2A1, "Protecting the environment," earned a mean score of 1.82. Next to the "Total" column are other columns representing the mean scores assigned by the respondents grouped by Gender.

The data from these columns are read in the same fashion as the data in the "Total" column. To test whether two mean scores are statistically different, a "t-test" is performed. As in the case of the "z-test" for percents, a statistically significant result is indicated by the letter representing the data column.

EXAMPLE FROM PREVIOUS RESEARCH	Gender		
	Total	Male	Female
2A1. Protecting the environment	1.82	1.77	1.85
2B1. Providing police protection	1.84	1.83	1.85
2C1. Keeping taxes at affordable levels	1.75	1.70	1.79
2D1. Managing growth and development	1.77	1.74	1.79
2E1. Providing greater shopping opportunities	1.27	1.32	1.23
2F1. Encouraging more stores and restaurants in the downtown	1.50	1.57	1.44
2G1. Preserving open space	1.76	1.73	1.77
2H1. Providing brush removal in open space areas	1.68	1.59	1.76
2I1. Managing traffic on City streets	1.59	1.58	1.60
2J1. Maintaining City streets and roads	1.77	1.82	1.74



GODBE RESEARCH
Gain Insight



Appendix B: Topline Report

**DONNER SUMMIT AREA ASSOCIATION (DSAA)
2007 Visioning and Planning Survey**

**Topline Report
October 29, 2007
(n = 582)**

Conventional rounding rules apply to the percentages shown in this report (.5 or above is rounded up, and .4 or below is rounded down). As a result, the percentages may not add up to exactly 100 percent.

Two questions within the survey were presented in a multiple response format. For Questions 11 and 12, each respondent was prompted to select more than one response option. For this reason, the response percentages sum to more than 100 and represent the percent of individuals that indicated a particular response, rather than the percent of total responses.

1. What is your most preferred information source for finding out what is going on in the Donner Summit area?

Serene Lakes Property Owners Association (SLPOA) Website and/or Newsletter	39%
Email	34%
Word of mouth – friends or family	5%
Sierra Sun	5%
Save Donner Summit Website	4%
U.S. Mail	3%
Flyers or posters around town	2%
Newsletter	1%
Save Serene Lakes Website	1%
Royal Gorge Future Website	1%
Other	3%
NR	2%

2. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in the Donner Summit area?

Very Satisfied	65%
Somewhat Satisfied	27%
Somewhat Dissatisfied	5%
Very Dissatisfied	1%
NR	2%

3. What ONE thing do you like most about the Donner Summit area?

Natural environment	55%
Outdoor activities	19%
Rural atmosphere	13%
Sense of community	4%
Climate	4%
High quality of life	3%
Other	1%
NR	1%

4. What is the single, MOST important issue facing the Donner Summit area in the next five years?

Regulating growth and development	63%
Protecting the environment	19%
Improving fire prevention efforts	8%
Improving traffic congestion and road conditions	5%
Improving flood control efforts	1%
Managing the cost of living	1%
Keeping elementary education available	1%
Other	2%
NR	1%

5. Consider each of the following items related to living in the Donner Summit area. For each item, please indicate whether you are very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied.

	Very Satisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Somewhat Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	No Opinion/ NR
5A. Quality of County services	25%	50%	10%	2%	13%
5B. Access to local historical sites, such as the China Wall and petroglyphs	36%	31%	4%	2%	28%
5C. Availability of businesses and professional services in the Donner Summit area	24%	41%	21%	5%	9%
5D. Availability of education in the Donner Summit area	12%	10%	4%	1%	73%
5E. Quality of your local Donner Summit neighborhood	56%	30%	6%	2%	6%

6. Generally speaking, is population growth in the Donner Summit area occurring too slow, too fast, or at the right pace?

Too slow	3%
At the right pace	45%
Too fast	46%
NR	5%

7. Please indicate whether you would support or oppose each of the following residential and commercial development directions for the Donner Summit area.

	Strongly Support	Somewhat Support	Somewhat Oppose	Strongly Oppose	NR
7A. Development of additional alpine ski-lifts	10%	29%	24%	33%	4%
7B. Development of condominiums and townhomes	2%	11%	22%	62%	2%
7C. Development of fractional ownership residences and time-shares	1%	8%	17%	72%	2%
7D. Development of recreational and tourist-driven businesses	7%	31%	27%	32%	3%
7E. Development of single-family homes	12%	47%	25%	14%	3%
7F. Development of year-round businesses	17%	50%	17%	13%	3%
7G. Prioritizing preservation of the environment over commercial and residential developments	77%	15%	3%	3%	2%
7H. Resort-style developments, such as lodges and hotels	4%	20%	23%	50%	3%
7I. Restriction of commercial development projects to limit growth	53%	29%	10%	6%	2%
7J. Restriction of residential development projects to limit growth	47%	33%	12%	5%	2%

8. Based on your personal experience, how would you rate road conditions and traffic flow during peak travel days, such as winter weekends and summer holidays?

Excellent	3%
Good	28%
Fair	40%
Poor	27%
NR	2%

9. Please indicate whether you would support or oppose each of the following traffic management projects.

	Strongly Support	Somewhat Support	Somewhat Oppose	Strongly Oppose	NR
9A. The addition of a freeway access point from I-80	10%	19%	29%	35%	7%
9B. The addition of traffic lights at high-use intersections, such as Soda Springs Road and Donner Pass Road.	18%	33%	25%	21%	2%
9C. The addition of traffic management personnel at high-use intersections, such as Soda Springs Road and Donner Pass Road.	24%	37%	22%	13%	4%
9D. The creation of an overpass to separate traffic from the railroad crossing.	17%	26%	24%	30%	4%
9E. An extension of the Capitol Corridor train line to serve the Donner Summit area.	40%	38%	10%	9%	3%
9F. An extension of the Truckee public transit system to serve the Donner Summit area.	34%	43%	12%	8%	4%
9G. An increase in the number of lanes on Donner Pass Road at I-80.	10%	23%	36%	28%	3%
9H. An increase in traffic law enforcement throughout the Donner Summit area.	24%	43%	20%	9%	5%
9I. The reinstatement of resort shuttle bus service during the winter and summer holiday weekends.	48%	41%	5%	3%	3%

10. Consider the following list of improvements to residential services provided by the County and utility services. For each, please indicate whether you think the improvement is very important, somewhat important, not too important, or not at all important.

	Very Important	Somewhat Important	Not too Important	Not at all Important	NR
10A. Improving cable or satellite television service.	18%	29%	30%	22%	2%
10B. Improving cellular phone coverage/reception.	37%	34%	20%	7%	2%
10C. Improving emergency communication services, used to inform the public during natural disasters and other emergencies.	41%	38%	15%	4%	2%
10D. Improving County snow removal services.	25%	42%	24%	6%	3%
10E. Improving flood control measures.	15%	35%	35%	12%	4%
10F. Improving road maintenance and repair.	36%	45%	15%	2%	2%
10G. Making high speed internet access available.	35%	30%	21%	13%	1%
10H. Making natural gas utility service available.	21%	34%	27%	16%	3%
10I. Moving electric, phone, and cable service wires underground.	27%	36%	23%	12%	2%
10J. Surveying water quality and water supply limits.	58%	29%	7%	3%	2%

11. What outdoor recreational activities do you, or members of your household, participate in around the Donner Summit area? (PLEASE CHECK ALL THAT APPLY.)

Hiking	91%
Alpine skiing	79%
Swimming	72%
Cross-country skiing	64%
Kayaking or canoeing	61%
Snow-shoeing	52%
Mountain biking	51%
Fishing	47%
Boating	45%
Sledding	41%
Road biking	39%
Snowboarding	37%
Running	33%
Rock climbing	17%
Off-road driving, including ATV's	17%
Snowmobiling	8%
Hunting	6%
Horseback riding	5%
Other	8%
NR	1%

12. Where do you, or members of your household, usually go to participate in outdoor activities? (PLEASE CHECK ALL THAT APPLY.)

Forest service land	76%
Public land	74%
Resorts or other commercially owned land	65%
Private land owned by myself or my family	42%
Private land owned by people I know	18%
Private land – don't know the owner	13%
Don't know the designation of the land	12%
Other	2%

13. Prior to completing this survey, had you ever heard of the Donner Summit Area Association (DSAA)?

Yes	60%
No	40%

14. In the last six months have you, or members of your household, visited the DSAA Website – www.donnsummitareaassociation.org?

Yes, have visited the website	24%
No, do not have Internet access	7%
No, have not visited but have Internet access	68%

15. What Donner Summit area community do you live in?

Serene Lakes	67%
Soda Springs	11%
Kingvale	5%
Sugar Bowl	5%
Cisco Grove	3%
Hampshire Rocks	3%
Palisades Lake	2%
Donner Ski Ranch Condominiums	2%
Big Bend	1%
Towle Mountain Estates	0%
Other	1%
DK/NA	1%

16. What is your age?

25 to 34	1%
35 to 44	12%
45 to 54	27%
55 to 64	31%
65 or over	27%
NR	2%

17. Which of the following best describes your total household income, before taxes, in 2006?

\$25,000 to \$49,999	4%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	10%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	13%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	20%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	11%
\$200,000 or higher	27%
NR	15%

18. Are you a full-time resident of the Donner Summit area?

Yes	11%
No	88%
NR	1%

19. How many years have you lived in, or visited, the Donner Summit area?

Less than one year	1%
One to two years	2%
Three to five years	6%
Six to ten years	15%
Eleven to nineteen years	16%
Twenty years or more	59%
NR	1%

20. Do you own or rent your place of residence in the Donner Summit area?

Own	97%
Rent	1%
NR	3%

If the Donner Summit area is not your primary residence, please answer the following (n = 513):

21. Where is your primary residence?

California	91%
Nevada	2%
NR	5%

22. On average, how many days per year do you, or members of your household, spend in the Donner Summit area?

Less than 30 days	17%
30 to 39 days	20%
40 to 49 days	10%
50 to 59 days	8%
60 to 69 days	12%
70 days or more	26%
NR	6%

23. If you own your residence in the Donner Summit area, do you rent or loan it to others when you, or members of your household, are not using it?

Yes, rent residence to others	16%
Yes, loan residence to others	27%
No, do not rent or loan residence to others	51%
NR	7%



GODBE RESEARCH
Gain Insight



Appendix C: Questionnaire

Donner Summit Area Association
P.O. Box 674
Soda Springs, CA 95728
www.donnersummitareaassociation.org

August 15, 2007

Dear Member of the Donner Summit Community:

Founded in 2004, the Donner Summit Area Association (DSAA) is a nonprofit organization representing the people of the historic Donner Summit community. Our goal is to preserve the area's rugged mountain character and natural resources by providing active coordination for community development issues. The DSAA has commissioned Godbe Research, an independent public opinion research agency, to conduct a Summit-wide community survey. The goal of this survey is to gain a better understanding of the needs and desires of the Summit community with regards to long-term development planning.

Responses to the survey will be reviewed by Godbe Research and will remain confidential and anonymous. Please mail your survey in the return envelope provided by September 5, 2007. For more information on Godbe Research, please visit their website at www.godberesearch.com. If you have questions about the survey, please contact the DSAA at (916) 645-8789 or email info@donnersummitareaassociation.org.

Our philosophy, "One Voice from Cisco Grove to Rainbow Bridge," says it all. We want your voice to be heard, so please take the time to share your thoughts and opinions. To learn more about the DSAA, please visit our website at www.donnersummitareaassociation.org.

Thank you,



Patricia Malberg
Secretary and Board Member
Donner Summit Area Association

2007 Donner Summit Community Survey

The Donner Summit Area Association commissioned this survey to gain a better understanding of the concerns and interests of the Summit community. Please take a moment to complete the survey and drop it in the mail by September 5, 2007. Thank you for your time, and we look forward to your input!

1. What is your most preferred information source for finding out what is going on in the Donner Summit area? (PLEASE CHECK THE BEST ANSWER.)

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Email | <input type="checkbox"/> Serene Lakes Property Owners Association (SLPOA) Website and/or Newsletter |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Flyers or posters around town | <input type="checkbox"/> Sierra Sun |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Royal Gorge Future Website | <input type="checkbox"/> Word of mouth – friends or family |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Save Donner Summit Website | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Save Serene Lakes Website | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER- PLEASE SPECIFY: _____ | |

2. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in the Donner Summit area?

- | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Very satisfied | <input type="checkbox"/> Somewhat satisfied | <input type="checkbox"/> Somewhat dissatisfied | <input type="checkbox"/> Very dissatisfied |
|---|---|--|--|

3. What ONE thing do you like most about the Donner Summit area? (PLEASE CHECK THE BEST ANSWER.)

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Climate | <input type="checkbox"/> Outdoor activities |
| <input type="checkbox"/> High quality of life | <input type="checkbox"/> Rural atmosphere |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Natural environment | <input type="checkbox"/> Sense of community |
| <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER- PLEASE SPECIFY: _____ | |

4. What is the single, MOST important issue facing the Donner Summit area in the next five years? (PLEASE CHECK THE BEST ANSWER.)

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Improving fire prevention efforts | <input type="checkbox"/> Keeping elementary education available |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Improving flood control efforts | <input type="checkbox"/> Managing the cost of living |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Improving medical and emergency services | <input type="checkbox"/> Protecting the environment |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Improving traffic congestion / road conditions | <input type="checkbox"/> Regulating growth and development |
| <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER- PLEASE SPECIFY: _____ | |

5. Consider each of the following items related to living in the Donner Summit area. For each item, please indicate whether you are very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied.

	Very Satisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Somewhat Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	No opinion
A. Quality of County services.	<input type="checkbox"/>				
B. Access to local historical sites, such as the China Wall and petroglyphs.	<input type="checkbox"/>				
C. Availability of businesses and professional services in the Donner Summit area.	<input type="checkbox"/>				
D. Availability of education in the Donner Summit area.	<input type="checkbox"/>				
E. Quality of your local Donner Summit neighborhood.	<input type="checkbox"/>				

6. Generally speaking, is population growth in the Donner Summit area occurring too slow, too fast, or at the right pace?

- Too slow Too fast At the right pace

7. Please indicate whether you would support or oppose each of the following residential and commercial development directions for the Donner Summit area.

	Strongly Support	Somewhat Support	Somewhat Oppose	Strongly Oppose
A. Development of additional alpine ski-lifts.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
B. Development of condominiums and townhomes.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
C. Development of fractional ownership residences and time-shares.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
D. Development of recreational and tourist-driven businesses.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
E. Development of single-family homes.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
F. Development of year-round businesses.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
G. Prioritizing preservation of the environment over commercial and residential developments.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
H. Resort-style developments, such as lodges and hotels.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
I. Restriction of commercial development projects to limit growth.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
J. Restriction of residential development projects to limit growth.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

8. Based on your personal experience, how would you rate road conditions and traffic flow during peak travel days, such as winter weekends and summer holidays?

- Excellent Good Fair Poor

9. Please indicate whether you would support or oppose each of the following traffic management projects.

	Strongly Support	Somewhat Support	Somewhat Oppose	Strongly Oppose
A. The addition of a freeway access point from I-80	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
B. The addition of traffic lights at high-use intersections, such as Soda Springs Road and Donner Pass Road.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
C. The addition of traffic management personnel at high-use intersections, such as Soda Springs Road and Donner Pass Road.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
D. The creation of an overpass to separate traffic from the railroad crossing.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
E. An extension of the Capitol Corridor train line to serve the Donner Summit area.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
F. An extension of the Truckee public transit system to serve the Donner Summit area.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
G. An increase in the number of lanes on Donner Pass Road at I-80.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
H. An increase in traffic law enforcement throughout the Donner Summit area.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
I. The reinstatement of resort shuttle bus service during the winter and summer holiday weekends.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

10. Consider the following list of improvements to residential services provided by the County and utility services. For each, please indicate whether you think the improvement is very important, somewhat important, not too important, or not at all important.

	Very Important	Somewhat Important	Not too Important	Not at all Important
A. Improving cable or satellite television service.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
B. Improving cellular phone coverage/reception.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
C. Improving emergency communication services, used to inform the public during natural disasters and other emergencies.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
D. Improving County snow removal services.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
E. Improving flood control measures.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
F. Improving road maintenance and repair.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
G. Making high speed internet access available.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
H. Making natural gas utility service available.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
I. Moving electric, phone, and cable service wires underground.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
J. Surveying water quality and water supply limits.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

11. What outdoor recreational activities do you, or members of your household, participate in around the Donner Summit area? (PLEASE CHECK ALL THAT APPLY.)

- Alpine skiing Off-road driving, including ATV's
 Boating Road biking
 Cross-country skiing Rock climbing
 Fishing Running
 Hiking Sledding
 Horseback riding Snowboarding
 Hunting Snowmobiling
 Kayaking or canoeing Snow-shoeing
 Mountain biking Swimming
 OTHER- PLEASE SPECIFY: _____

12. Where do you, or members of your household, usually go to participate in outdoor activities? (PLEASE CHECK ALL THAT APPLY.)

- Private land owned by myself or my family Public land
 Private land owned by people I know Resorts or other commercially owned land
 Private land – don't know the owner Don't know the designation of the land
 Forest service land
 OTHER- PLEASE SPECIFY: _____

13. Prior to completing this survey, had you ever heard of the Donner Summit Area Association (DSAA)?

- Yes No

14. In the last six months have you, or members of your household, visited the DSAA Website – www.donnersummitareaassociation.org?

- Yes, have visited the website No, do not have internet access No, have not visited but have internet access

The following questions are used for statistical comparisons only.

15. What Donner Summit area community do you live in?

- | | | |
|---|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Boreal Ridge | <input type="checkbox"/> PlaVada | <input type="checkbox"/> The Cedars |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Cisco Grove | <input type="checkbox"/> Serene Lakes | <input type="checkbox"/> Towle Mountain Estates |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Hampshire Rocks | <input type="checkbox"/> Shinneyboo Creek | <input type="checkbox"/> Woodlands |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Kingvale | <input type="checkbox"/> Soda Springs | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Palisades Lake | <input type="checkbox"/> Sugar Bowl | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER- PLEASE SPECIFY: _____ | | |

16. What is your age? (PLEASE CHECK THE BEST ANSWER.)

- | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Under 18 | <input type="checkbox"/> 45 to 54 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 18 to 24 | <input type="checkbox"/> 55 to 64 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 25 to 34 | <input type="checkbox"/> 65 or over |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 35 to 44 | |

17. Which of the following best describes your total household income, before taxes, in 2006? (PLEASE CHECK THE BEST ANSWER.)

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Under \$15,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> \$75,000 to \$99,999 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> \$15,000 to \$24,999 | <input type="checkbox"/> \$100,000 to \$149,999 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> \$25,000 to \$49,999 | <input type="checkbox"/> \$150,000 to \$199,999 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> \$50,000 to \$74,999 | <input type="checkbox"/> \$200,000 or higher |

18. Are you a full-time resident of the Donner Summit area?

- Yes No

19. How many years have you lived in, or visited, the Donner Summit area?

- Less than one year
 One year to two years
 Three to five years
 Six to ten years
 Eleven to nineteen years
 Twenty years or more

20. Do you own or rent your place of residence in the Donner Summit area?

- Own
 Rent

If the Donner Summit area is not your primary residence, please answer the following:

21. Where is your primary residence?
City: _____
State: _____

22. On average, how many days per year do you, or members of your household, spend in the Donner Summit area?
_____ days

23. If you own your residence in the Donner Summit area, do you rent or loan it to others when you, or members of your household, are not using it?
 Yes, rent residence to others
 Yes, loan residence to others
 No, do not rent or loan residence to others

Please use the following space to provide any additional comments: _____

THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR TIME AND PARTICIPATION!



GODBE RESEARCH
Gain Insight



Appendix D: Crosstabulation Tables

		Outdoor Activities			
		Total	Alpine skiing	Cross-country skiing	Other
2. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the quality of life in the Donner Summit area?	Total	582	458	375	582
	Very Satisfied	377 64.8%	294 64.2%	251 66.9%	377 64.8%
	Somewhat Satisfied	158 27.1%	127 27.7%	96 25.6%	158 27.1%
	Somewhat Dissatisfied	27 4.6%	23 5.0%	16 4.3%	27 4.6%
	Very Dissatisfied	8 1.4%	6 1.3%	4 1.1%	8 1.4%
	DK/NA	12 2.1%	8 1.7%	8 2.1%	12 2.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^a

		Outdoor Activities		
		Alpine skiing	Cross-country skiing	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
2. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the quality of life in the Donner Summit area?	Very Satisfied			
	Somewhat Satisfied			
	Somewhat Dissatisfied			
	Very Dissatisfied			
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

		Age				
		Total	18 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 or over
2. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the quality of life in the Donner Summit area?	Total	571	79	155	182	155
	Very Satisfied	370 64.8%	49 62.0%	98 63.2%	119 65.4%	104 67.1%
	Somewhat Satisfied	155 27.1%	26 32.9%	45 29.0%	45 24.7%	39 25.2%
	Somewhat Dissatisfied	26 4.6%	3 3.8%	7 4.5%	9 4.9%	7 4.5%
	Very Dissatisfied	8 1.4%	0 .0%	2 1.3%	5 2.7%	1 .6%
	DK/NA	12 2.1%	1 1.3%	3 1.9%	4 2.2%	4 2.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^b

		Age			
		18 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 or over
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
2. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the quality of life in the Donner Summit area?	Very Satisfied				
	Somewhat Satisfied				
	Somewhat Dissatisfied				
	Very Dissatisfied	a			
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

		Household Income					
		Total	Less than \$75,000	\$75,000 to \$99,999	\$100,000 to \$149,999	\$150,000 to \$199,999	\$200,000 or higher
2. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the quality of life in the Donner Summit area?	Total	497	84	73	118	64	158
	Very Satisfied	331 66.6%	58 69.0%	48 65.8%	82 69.5%	38 59.4%	105 66.5%
	Somewhat Satisfied	130 26.2%	19 22.6%	22 30.1%	28 23.7%	20 31.3%	41 25.9%
	Somewhat Dissatisfied	19 3.8%	4 4.8%	1 1.4%	6 5.1%	1 1.6%	7 4.4%
	Very Dissatisfied	7 1.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .8%	4 6.3%	2 1.3%
	DK/NA	10 2.0%	3 3.6%	2 2.7%	1 .8%	1 1.6%	3 1.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^b

		Household Income				
		Less than \$75,000	\$75,000 to \$99,999	\$100,000 to \$149,999	\$150,000 to \$199,999	\$200,000 or higher
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
2. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the quality of life in the Donner Summit area?	Very Satisfied					
	Somewhat Satisfied					
	Somewhat Dissatisfied					
	Very Dissatisfied	. ^a	. ^a			
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

		Resident Status		
		Total	Full-time	Part-time
2. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the quality of life in the Donner Summit area?	Total	575	62	513
	Very Satisfied	373 64.9%	43 69.4%	330 64.3%
	Somewhat Satisfied	156 27.1%	14 22.6%	142 27.7%
	Somewhat Dissatisfied	26 4.5%	3 4.8%	23 4.5%
	Very Dissatisfied	8 1.4%	1 1.6%	7 1.4%
	DK/NA	12 2.1%	1 1.6%	11 2.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^a

		Resident Status	
		Full-time	Part-time
		(A)	(B)
2. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the quality of life in the Donner Summit area?	Very Satisfied		
	Somewhat Satisfied		
	Somewhat Dissatisfied		
	Very Dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

		Length of Residence				
		Total	Five years or less	Six to ten years	Eleven to nineteen years	Twenty years or more
2. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the quality of life in the Donner Summit area?	Total	575	49	89	94	343
	Very Satisfied	372 64.7%	36 73.5%	58 65.2%	60 63.8%	218 63.6%
	Somewhat Satisfied	156 27.1%	11 22.4%	24 27.0%	30 31.9%	91 26.5%
	Somewhat Dissatisfied	27 4.7%	0 .0%	3 3.4%	3 3.2%	21 6.1%
	Very Dissatisfied	8 1.4%	1 2.0%	3 3.4%	1 1.1%	3 .9%
	DK/NA	12 2.1%	1 2.0%	1 1.1%	0 .0%	10 2.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^b

		Length of Residence			
		Five years or less	Six to ten years	Eleven to nineteen years	Twenty years or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
2. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the quality of life in the Donner Summit area?	Very Satisfied				
	Somewhat Satisfied				
	Somewhat Dissatisfied	a			
	Very Dissatisfied				
	DK/NA			a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

		Outdoor Activities			
		Total	Alpine skiing	Cross-country skiing	Other
3. What one thing do you like most about the Donner Summit area?	Total	582	458	375	582
	Climate	23 4.0%	14 3.1%	9 2.4%	23 4.0%
	High quality of life	20 3.4%	14 3.1%	12 3.2%	20 3.4%
	Natural environment	318 54.6%	241 52.6%	201 53.6%	318 54.6%
	Outdoor activities	111 19.1%	98 21.4%	84 22.4%	111 19.1%
	Rural atmosphere	78 13.4%	63 13.8%	46 12.3%	78 13.4%
	Sense of community	25 4.3%	22 4.8%	17 4.5%	25 4.3%
	Other	4 .7%	3 .7%	4 1.1%	4 .7%
	DK/NA	3 .5%	3 .7%	2 .5%	3 .5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^a

		Outdoor Activities		
		Alpine skiing	Cross-country skiing	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
3. What one thing do you like most about the Donner Summit area?	Climate			B
	High quality of life			
	Natural environment			
	Outdoor activities	C	C	
	Rural atmosphere			
	Sense of community			
	Other			
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

		Age				
		Total	18 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 or over
3. What one thing do you like most about the Donner Summit area?	Total	571	79	155	182	155
	Climate	22 3.9%	2 2.5%	3 1.9%	10 5.5%	7 4.5%
	High quality of life	18 3.2%	3 3.8%	5 3.2%	7 3.8%	3 1.9%
	Natural environment	313 54.8%	41 51.9%	78 50.3%	96 52.7%	98 63.2%
	Outdoor activities	109 19.1%	20 25.3%	33 21.3%	34 18.7%	22 14.2%
	Rural atmosphere	78 13.7%	12 15.2%	26 16.8%	23 12.6%	17 11.0%
	Sense of community	25 4.4%	1 1.3%	8 5.2%	10 5.5%	6 3.9%
	Other	4 .7%	0 .0%	2 1.3%	1 .5%	1 .6%
	DK/NA	2 .4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .5%	1 .6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^b

		Age			
		18 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 or over
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
3. What one thing do you like most about the Donner Summit area?	Climate				
	High quality of life				
	Natural environment				
	Outdoor activities				
	Rural atmosphere				
	Sense of community				
	Other	. ^a			
	DK/NA	. ^a	. ^a		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

		Household Income					
		Total	Less than \$75,000	\$75,000 to \$99,999	\$100,000 to \$149,999	\$150,000 to \$199,999	\$200,000 or higher
3. What one thing do you like most about the Donner Summit area?	Total	497	84	73	118	64	158
	Climate	19 3.8%	4 4.8%	4 5.5%	7 5.9%	2 3.1%	2 1.3%
	High quality of life	15 3.0%	3 3.6%	3 4.1%	4 3.4%	2 3.1%	3 1.9%
	Natural environment	272 54.7%	51 60.7%	37 50.7%	61 51.7%	35 54.7%	88 55.7%
	Outdoor activities	95 19.1%	10 11.9%	13 17.8%	23 19.5%	11 17.2%	38 24.1%
	Rural atmosphere	69 13.9%	11 13.1%	10 13.7%	16 13.6%	11 17.2%	21 13.3%
	Sense of community	21 4.2%	4 4.8%	6 8.2%	6 5.1%	1 1.6%	4 2.5%
	Other	4 .8%	1 1.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 3.1%	1 .6%
	DK/NA	2 .4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .8%	0 .0%	1 .6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^b

		Household Income				
		Less than \$75,000	\$75,000 to \$99,999	\$100,000 to \$149,999	\$150,000 to \$199,999	\$200,000 or higher
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
3. What one thing do you like most about the Donner Summit area?	Climate					
	High quality of life					
	Natural environment					
	Outdoor activities					
	Rural atmosphere					
	Sense of community					
	Other		.a	.a		
DK/NA	.a	.a		.a		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

		Resident Status		
		Total	Full-time	Part-time
3. What one thing do you like most about the Donner Summit area?	Total	575	62	513
	Climate	22 3.8%	4 6.5%	18 3.5%
	High quality of life	18 3.1%	5 8.1%	13 2.5%
	Natural environment	315 54.8%	26 41.9%	289 56.3%
	Outdoor activities	110 19.1%	11 17.7%	99 19.3%
	Rural atmosphere	78 13.6%	12 19.4%	66 12.9%
	Sense of community	25 4.3%	3 4.8%	22 4.3%
	Other	4 .7%	1 1.6%	3 .6%
	DK/NA	3 .5%	0 .0%	3 .6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^b

		Resident Status	
		Full-time	Part-time
		(A)	(B)
3. What one thing do you like most about the Donner Summit area?	Climate		
	High quality of life	B	
	Natural environment		A
	Outdoor activities		
	Rural atmosphere		
	Sense of community		
	Other		
	DK/NA	. ^a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

		Length of Residence				
		Total	Five years or less	Six to ten years	Eleven to nineteen years	Twenty years or more
3. What one thing do you like most about the Donner Summit area?	Total	575	49	89	94	343
	Climate	22 3.8%	3 6.1%	5 5.6%	2 2.1%	12 3.5%
	High quality of life	19 3.3%	3 6.1%	6 6.7%	1 1.1%	9 2.6%
	Natural environment	314 54.6%	28 57.1%	44 49.4%	50 53.2%	192 56.0%
	Outdoor activities	110 19.1%	9 18.4%	20 22.5%	16 17.0%	65 19.0%
	Rural atmosphere	78 13.6%	4 8.2%	11 12.4%	18 19.1%	45 13.1%
	Sense of community	25 4.3%	2 4.1%	3 3.4%	7 7.4%	13 3.8%
	Other	4 .7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	4 1.2%
	DK/NA	3 .5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 .9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^b

		Length of Residence			
		Five years or less	Six to ten years	Eleven to nineteen years	Twenty years or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
3. What one thing do you like most about the Donner Summit area?	Climate				
	High quality of life				
	Natural environment				
	Outdoor activities				
	Rural atmosphere				
	Sense of community				
	Other	.a	.a	.a	
DK/NA	.a	.a	.a		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

		Outdoor Activities			
		Total	Alpine skiing	Cross-country skiing	Other
4. What is the single, most important issue facing the Donner Summit area in the next five years?	Total	582	458	375	582
	Improving fire prevention efforts	44 7.6%	30 6.6%	22 5.9%	44 7.6%
	Improving flood control efforts	7 1.2%	3 .7%	4 1.1%	7 1.2%
	Improving medical and emergency services	2 .3%	2 .4%	1 .3%	2 .3%
	Improving traffic congestion and road conditions	29 5.0%	25 5.5%	16 4.3%	29 5.0%
	Keeping elementary education available	4 .7%	3 .7%	3 .8%	4 .7%
	Managing the cost of living	7 1.2%	4 .9%	3 .8%	7 1.2%
	Protecting the environment	109 18.7%	83 18.1%	71 18.9%	109 18.7%
	Regulating growth and development	365 62.7%	296 64.6%	246 65.6%	365 62.7%
	Other	10 1.7%	9 2.0%	7 1.9%	10 1.7%
	DK/NA	5 .9%	3 .7%	2 .5%	5 .9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^a

		Outdoor Activities		
		Alpine skiing	Cross-country skiing	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
4. What is the single, most important issue facing the Donner Summit area in the next five years?	Improving fire prevention efforts			
	Improving flood control efforts			A
	Improving medical and emergency services			
	Improving traffic congestion and road conditions			
	Keeping elementary education available			
	Managing the cost of living			
	Protecting the environment			
	Regulating growth and development			
	Other			
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

		Age				
		Total	18 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 or over
4. What is the single, most important issue facing the Donner Summit area in the next five years?	Total	571	79	155	182	155
	Improving fire prevention efforts	42 7.4%	3 3.8%	7 4.5%	18 9.9%	14 9.0%
	Improving flood control efforts	7 1.2%	0 .0%	3 1.9%	3 1.6%	1 .6%
	Improving medical and emergency services	1 .2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .6%
	Improving traffic congestion and road conditions	28 4.9%	2 2.5%	7 4.5%	10 5.5%	9 5.8%
	Keeping elementary education available	4 .7%	1 1.3%	2 1.3%	0 .0%	1 .6%
	Managing the cost of living	7 1.2%	0 .0%	1 .6%	2 1.1%	4 2.6%
	Protecting the environment	108 18.9%	18 22.8%	27 17.4%	31 17.0%	32 20.6%
	Regulating growth and development	359 62.9%	53 67.1%	105 67.7%	112 61.5%	89 57.4%
	Other	10 1.8%	2 2.5%	2 1.3%	4 2.2%	2 1.3%
	DK/NA	5 .9%	0 .0%	1 .6%	2 1.1%	2 1.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^b

		Age			
		18 to 44 (A)	45 to 54 (B)	55 to 64 (C)	65 or over (D)
4. What is the single, most important issue facing the Donner Summit area in the next five years?	Improving fire prevention efforts				
	Improving flood control efforts	a			
	Improving medical and emergency services	a	a	a	
	Improving traffic congestion and road conditions				
	Keeping elementary education available			a	
	Managing the cost of living	a			
	Protecting the environment				
	Regulating growth and development				
Other					
DK/NA	a				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

		Household Income					
		Total	Less than \$75,000	\$75,000 to \$99,999	\$100,000 to \$149,999	\$150,000 to \$199,999	\$200,000 or higher
4. What is the single, most important issue facing the Donner Summit area in the next five years?	Total	497	84	73	118	64	158
	Improving fire prevention efforts	38 7.6%	7 8.3%	5 6.8%	8 6.8%	5 7.8%	13 8.2%
	Improving flood control efforts	7 1.4%	1 1.2%	2 2.7%	3 2.5%	0 .0%	1 .6%
	Improving medical and emergency services	1 .2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .8%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Improving traffic congestion and road conditions	23 4.6%	4 4.8%	2 2.7%	6 5.1%	3 4.7%	8 5.1%
	Keeping elementary education available	4 .8%	1 1.2%	2 2.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .6%
	Managing the cost of living	6 1.2%	5 6.0%	0 .0%	1 .8%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Protecting the environment	92 18.5%	14 16.7%	14 19.2%	23 19.5%	12 18.8%	29 18.4%
	Regulating growth and development	315 63.4%	50 59.5%	46 63.0%	72 61.0%	43 67.2%	104 65.8%
	Other	7 1.4%	2 2.4%	1 1.4%	2 1.7%	1 1.6%	1 .6%
	DK/NA	4 .8%	0 .0%	1 1.4%	2 1.7%	0 .0%	1 .6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^b

		Household Income				
		Less than \$75,000	\$75,000 to \$99,999	\$100,000 to \$149,999	\$150,000 to \$199,999	\$200,000 or higher
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
4. What is the single, most important issue facing the Donner Summit area in the next five years?	Improving fire prevention efforts					
	Improving flood control efforts				a	
	Improving medical and emergency services	a	a		a	a
	Improving traffic congestion and road conditions					
	Keeping elementary education available			a	a	
	Managing the cost of living	C	a		a	a
	Protecting the environment					
	Regulating growth and development					
	Other					
DK/NA	a			a		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

		Resident Status		
		Total	Full-time	Part-time
4. What is the single, most important issue facing the Donner Summit area in the next five years?	Total	575	62	513
	Improving fire prevention efforts	43 7.5%	6 9.7%	37 7.2%
	Improving flood control efforts	7 1.2%	2 3.2%	5 1.0%
	Improving medical and emergency services	2 .3%	0 .0%	2 .4%
	Improving traffic congestion and road conditions	28 4.9%	2 3.2%	26 5.1%
	Keeping elementary education available	4 .7%	3 4.8%	1 .2%
	Managing the cost of living	7 1.2%	3 4.8%	4 .8%
	Protecting the environment	108 18.8%	9 14.5%	99 19.3%
	Regulating growth and development	361 62.8%	33 53.2%	328 63.9%
	Other	10 1.7%	3 4.8%	7 1.4%
	DK/NA	5 .9%	1 1.6%	4 .8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^b

		Resident Status	
		Full-time	Part-time
		(A)	(B)
4. What is the single, most important issue facing the Donner Summit area in the next five years?	Improving fire prevention efforts		
	Improving flood control efforts		
	Improving medical and emergency services	a	
	Improving traffic congestion and road conditions		
	Keeping elementary education available	B	
	Managing the cost of living	B	
	Protecting the environment		
	Regulating growth and development		
Other	B		
DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

		Length of Residence				
		Total	Five years or less	Six to ten years	Eleven to nineteen years	Twenty years or more
4. What is the single, most important issue facing the Donner Summit area in the next five years?	Total	575	49	89	94	343
	Improving fire prevention efforts	44 7.7%	1 2.0%	5 5.6%	8 8.5%	30 8.7%
	Improving flood control efforts	7 1.2%	0 .0%	2 2.2%	1 1.1%	4 1.2%
	Improving medical and emergency services	2 .3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 .6%
	Improving traffic congestion and road conditions	28 4.9%	1 2.0%	2 2.2%	4 4.3%	21 6.1%
	Keeping elementary education available	4 .7%	1 2.0%	2 2.2%	0 .0%	1 .3%
	Managing the cost of living	7 1.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 1.1%	6 1.7%
	Protecting the environment	108 18.8%	11 22.4%	18 20.2%	16 17.0%	63 18.4%
	Regulating growth and development	360 62.6%	35 71.4%	59 66.3%	60 63.8%	206 60.1%
	Other	10 1.7%	0 .0%	1 1.1%	4 4.3%	5 1.5%
	DK/NA	5 .9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	5 1.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^b

		Length of Residence			
		Five years or less	Six to ten years	Eleven to nineteen years	Twenty years or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
4. What is the single, most important issue facing the Donner Summit area in the next five years?	Improving fire prevention efforts				
	Improving flood control efforts	a			
	Improving medical and emergency services	a	a	a	
	Improving traffic congestion and road conditions				
	Keeping elementary education available			a	
	Managing the cost of living	a	a		
	Protecting the environment				
	Regulating growth and development				
	Other	a			
DK/NA	a	a	a		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

	Outdoor Activities			
	Total	Alpine skiing	Cross-country skiing	Other
5A. Quality of County services	.98	.96	.97	.98
5B. Access to local	1.32	1.28	1.34	1.32
5C. Availability of	.62	.59	.63	.62
5D. Availability of	1.06	.95	1.06	1.06
5E. Quality of your local	1.42	1.41	1.41	1.42

Comparisons of Column Means^a

	Outdoor Activities		
	Alpine skiing	Cross-country skiing	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)
5A. Quality of County services			
5B. Access to local historical sites, such as the China Wall and petroglyphs			
5C. Availability of businesses and professional services in the Donner Summit area			
5D. Availability of education in the Donner Summit area			
5E. Quality of your local Donner Summit neighborhood			

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

	Age				
	Total	18 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 or over
5A. Quality of County services	.98	.96	1.08	.91	.96
5B. Access to local	1.32	1.18	1.26	1.36	1.39
5C. Availability of	.62	.36	.57	.58	.86
5D. Availability of	1.06	.89	1.04	1.11	1.13
5E. Quality of your local	1.43	1.43	1.43	1.44	1.41

Comparisons of Column Means^a

	Age			
	18 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 or over
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
5A. Quality of County services				
5B. Access to local historical sites, such as the China Wall and petroglyphs				
5C. Availability of businesses and professional services in the Donner Summit area				A
5D. Availability of education in the Donner Summit area				
5E. Quality of your local Donner Summit neighborhood				

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

	Household Income					
	Total	Less than \$75,000	\$75,000 to \$99,999	\$100,000 to \$149,999	\$150,000 to \$199,999	\$200,000 or higher
5A. Quality of County services	.99	.89	.99	1.07	.76	1.08
5B. Access to local	1.33	1.48	1.28	1.37	1.33	1.23
5C. Availability of	.61	.93	.63	.70	.31	.49
5D. Availability of	1.02	1.29	.76	1.15	.77	.91
5E. Quality of your local	1.47	1.58	1.43	1.40	1.34	1.52

Comparisons of Column Means^a

	Household Income				
	Less than \$75,000	\$75,000 to \$99,999	\$100,000 to \$149,999	\$150,000 to \$199,999	\$200,000 or higher
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
5A. Quality of County services					
5B. Access to local historical sites, such as the China Wall and petroglyphs					
5C. Availability of businesses and professional services in the Donner Summit area	D				
5D. Availability of education in the Donner Summit area					
5E. Quality of your local Donner Summit neighborhood					

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

	Resident Status		
	Total	Full-time	Part-time
5A. Quality of County services	.98	.63	1.02
5B. Access to local	1.32	1.42	1.30
5C. Availability of	.62	.33	.65
5D. Availability of	1.06	1.08	1.05
5E. Quality of your local	1.43	1.28	1.45

Comparisons of Column Means^a

	Resident Status	
	Full-time	Part-time
	(A)	(B)
5A. Quality of County services		A
5B. Access to local historical sites, such as the China Wall and petroglyphs		
5C. Availability of businesses and professional services in the Donner Summit area		
5D. Availability of education in the Donner Summit area		
5E. Quality of your local Donner Summit neighborhood		

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

	Length of Residence				
	Total	Five years or less	Six to ten years	Eleven to nineteen years	Twenty years or more
5A. Quality of County services	.98	1.27	1.01	1.00	.92
5B. Access to local	1.31	1.47	1.15	1.50	1.29
5C. Availability of	.61	.63	.42	.56	.67
5D. Availability of	1.06	1.19	1.00	1.04	1.06
5E. Quality of your local	1.42	1.67	1.40	1.49	1.37

Comparisons of Column Means^a

	Length of Residence			
	Five years or less	Six to ten years	Eleven to nineteen years	Twenty years or more
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
5A. Quality of County services				
5B. Access to local historical sites, such as the China Wall and petroglyphs				
5C. Availability of businesses and professional services in the Donner Summit area				
5D. Availability of education in the Donner Summit area				
5E. Quality of your local Donner Summit neighborhood				

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

		Outdoor Activities			
		Total	Alpine skiing	Cross-country skiing	Other
6. Generally speaking, is population growth in the Donner Summit area occurring too slow, too fast, or at the right pace?	Total	582	458	375	582
	Too slow	20 3.4%	17 3.7%	9 2.4%	20 3.4%
	Too fast	269 46.2%	211 46.1%	183 48.8%	269 46.2%
	At the right pace	264 45.4%	212 46.3%	168 44.8%	264 45.4%
	DK/NA	29 5.0%	18 3.9%	15 4.0%	29 5.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^a

		Outdoor Activities		
		Alpine skiing	Cross-country skiing	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
6. Generally speaking, is population growth in the Donner Summit area occurring too slow, too fast, or at the right pace?	Too slow			
	Too fast			
	At the right pace			
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

		Age				
		Total	18 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 or over
6. Generally speaking, is population growth in the Donner Summit area occurring too slow, too fast, or at the right pace?	Total	571	79	155	182	155
	Too slow	19 3.3%	3 3.8%	6 3.9%	6 3.3%	4 2.6%
	Too fast	265 46.4%	35 44.3%	79 51.0%	81 44.5%	70 45.2%
	At the right pace	259 45.4%	38 48.1%	65 41.9%	84 46.2%	72 46.5%
	DK/NA	28 4.9%	3 3.8%	5 3.2%	11 6.0%	9 5.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^a

		Age			
		18 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 or over
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
6. Generally speaking, is population growth in the Donner Summit area occurring too slow, too fast, or at the right pace?	Too slow				
	Too fast				
	At the right pace				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

		Household Income					
		Total	Less than \$75,000	\$75,000 to \$99,999	\$100,000 to \$149,999	\$150,000 to \$199,999	\$200,000 or higher
6. Generally speaking, is population growth in the Donner Summit area occurring too slow, too fast, or at the right pace?	Total	497	84	73	118	64	158
	Too slow	16 3.2%	2 2.4%	1 1.4%	6 5.1%	3 4.7%	4 2.5%
	Too fast	227 45.7%	41 48.8%	41 56.2%	53 44.9%	28 43.8%	64 40.5%
	At the right pace	234 47.1%	36 42.9%	29 39.7%	56 47.5%	30 46.9%	83 52.5%
	DK/NA	20 4.0%	5 6.0%	2 2.7%	3 2.5%	3 4.7%	7 4.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^a

		Household Income				
		Less than \$75,000	\$75,000 to \$99,999	\$100,000 to \$149,999	\$150,000 to \$199,999	\$200,000 or higher
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
6. Generally speaking, is population growth in the Donner Summit area occurring too slow, too fast, or at the right pace?	Too slow					
	Too fast					
	At the right pace					
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

		Resident Status		
		Total	Full-time	Part-time
6. Generally speaking, is population growth in the Donner Summit area occurring too slow, too fast, or at the right pace?	Total	575	62	513
	Too slow	19 3.3%	5 8.1%	14 2.7%
	Too fast	265 46.1%	28 45.2%	237 46.2%
	At the right pace	262 45.6%	24 38.7%	238 46.4%
	DK/NA	29 5.0%	5 8.1%	24 4.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^a

		Resident Status	
		Full-time	Part-time
		(A)	(B)
6. Generally speaking, is population growth in the Donner Summit area occurring too slow, too fast, or at the right pace?	Too slow	B	
	Too fast		
	At the right pace		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

		Length of Residence				
		Total	Five years or less	Six to ten years	Eleven to nineteen years	Twenty years or more
6. Generally speaking, is population growth in the Donner Summit area occurring too slow, too fast, or at the right pace?	Total	575	49	89	94	343
	Too slow	20 3.5%	2 4.1%	2 2.2%	5 5.3%	11 3.2%
	Too fast	265 46.1%	19 38.8%	37 41.6%	46 48.9%	163 47.5%
	At the right pace	261 45.4%	26 53.1%	47 52.8%	41 43.6%	147 42.9%
	DK/NA	29 5.0%	2 4.1%	3 3.4%	2 2.1%	22 6.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^a

		Length of Residence			
		Five years or less	Six to ten years	Eleven to nineteen years	Twenty years or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
6. Generally speaking, is population growth in the Donner Summit area occurring too slow, too fast, or at the right pace?	Too slow Too fast At the right pace DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

	Outdoor Activities			
	Total	Alpine skiing	Cross-country skiing	Other
7A. Development of additional alpine ski-lifts	-.42	-.32	-.52	-.42
7B. Development of	-1.33	-1.35	-1.37	-1.33
7C. Development of	-1.54	-1.59	-1.57	-1.54
7D. Development of	-.48	-.42	-.52	-.48
7E. Development of	.19	.18	.21	.19
7F. Development of	.42	.47	.46	.42
7G. Prioritizing preservation	1.64	1.70	1.74	1.64
7H. Resort-style	-.98	-.96	-1.02	-.98
7I. Restriction of	1.17	1.19	1.27	1.17
7J. Restriction of residential	1.09	1.11	1.22	1.09

Comparisons of Column Means^a

	Outdoor Activities		
	Alpine skiing	Cross-country skiing	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)
7A. Development of additional alpine ski-lifts	B C		
7B. Development of condominiums and townhomes			
7C. Development of fractional ownership residences and time-shares			A
7D. Development of recreational and tourist-driven businesses			
7E. Development of single-family homes			
7F. Development of year-round businesses			
7G. Prioritizing preservation of the environment over commercial and residential developments	C	C	
7H. Resort-style developments, such as lodges and hotels			
7I. Restriction of commercial development projects to limit growth		C	
7J. Restriction of residential development projects to limit growth		A C	

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

	Age				
	Total	18 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 or over
7A. Development of additional alpine ski-lifts	-0.43	-0.03	-0.39	-0.49	-0.63
7B. Development of	-1.34	-1.37	-1.45	-1.28	-1.28
7C. Development of	-1.55	-1.58	-1.58	-1.57	-1.49
7D. Development of	-0.48	-0.47	-0.52	-0.39	-0.54
7E. Development of	.18	.40	.02	.10	.34
7F. Development of	.43	.69	.55	.43	.15
7G. Prioritizing preservation	1.65	1.81	1.71	1.64	1.52
7H. Resort-style	-0.99	-1.13	-1.04	-0.87	-1.01
7I. Restriction of	1.18	1.36	1.23	1.17	1.04
7J. Restriction of residential	1.09	1.36	1.11	1.06	.99

Comparisons of Column Means^a

	Age			
	18 to 44 (A)	45 to 54 (B)	55 to 64 (C)	65 or over (D)
7A. Development of additional alpine ski-lifts	D			
7B. Development of condominiums and townhomes				
7C. Development of fractional ownership residences and time-shares				
7D. Development of recreational and tourist-driven businesses				
7E. Development of single-family homes				
7F. Development of year-round businesses	D			
7G. Prioritizing preservation of the environment over commercial and residential developments				
7H. Resort-style developments, such as lodges and hotels				
7I. Restriction of commercial development projects to limit growth				
7J. Restriction of residential development projects to limit growth				

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

	Household Income					
	Total	Less than \$75,000	\$75,000 to \$99,999	\$100,000 to \$149,999	\$150,000 to \$199,999	\$200,000 or higher
7A. Development of additional alpine ski-lifts	-0.41	-0.88	-0.62	-0.43	-0.40	-0.07
7B. Development of	-1.33	-1.44	-1.54	-1.35	-1.28	-1.19
7C. Development of	-1.55	-1.51	-1.60	-1.60	-1.56	-1.52
7D. Development of	-0.48	-0.67	-0.57	-0.59	-0.33	-0.34
7E. Development of	.19	.19	.10	.10	.31	.25
7F. Development of	.45	.19	.46	.30	.57	.63
7G. Prioritizing preservation	1.64	1.57	1.81	1.68	1.59	1.59
7H. Resort-style	-0.96	-1.27	-1.03	-0.88	-0.95	-0.82
7I. Restriction of	1.20	1.20	1.10	1.20	1.38	1.16
7J. Restriction of residential	1.12	1.13	1.09	1.06	1.21	1.13

Comparisons of Column Means^a

	Household Income				
	Less than \$75,000	\$75,000 to \$99,999	\$100,000 to \$149,999	\$150,000 to \$199,999	\$200,000 or higher
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
7A. Development of additional alpine ski-lifts					A
7B. Development of condominiums and townhomes					
7C. Development of fractional ownership residences and time-shares					
7D. Development of recreational and tourist-driven businesses					
7E. Development of single-family homes					
7F. Development of year-round businesses					
7G. Prioritizing preservation of the environment over commercial and residential developments					
7H. Resort-style developments, such as lodges and hotels					
7I. Restriction of commercial development projects to limit growth					
7J. Restriction of residential development projects to limit growth					

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

	Resident Status		
	Total	Full-time	Part-time
7A. Development of additional alpine ski-lifts	-0.43	-0.41	-0.43
7B. Development of	-1.34	-1.21	-1.35
7C. Development of	-1.55	-1.59	-1.55
7D. Development of	-0.48	-0.15	-0.52
7E. Development of	0.18	0.40	0.15
7F. Development of	0.42	0.82	0.38
7G. Prioritizing preservation	1.65	1.34	1.68
7H. Resort-style	-0.99	-0.79	-1.02
7I. Restriction of	1.18	0.65	1.24
7J. Restriction of residential	1.10	0.77	1.14

Comparisons of Column Means^a

	Resident Status	
	Full-time	Part-time
	(A)	(B)
7A. Development of additional alpine ski-lifts		
7B. Development of condominiums and townhomes		
7C. Development of fractional ownership residences and time-shares		
7D. Development of recreational and tourist-driven businesses		
7E. Development of single-family homes		
7F. Development of year-round businesses	B	
7G. Prioritizing preservation of the environment over commercial and residential developments		A
7H. Resort-style developments, such as lodges and hotels		
7I. Restriction of commercial development projects to limit growth		A
7J. Restriction of residential development projects to limit growth		A

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

	Length of Residence				
	Total	Five years or less	Six to ten years	Eleven to nineteen years	Twenty years or more
7A. Development of additional alpine ski-lifts	-0.43	.00	-0.37	-0.48	-0.49
7B. Development of	-1.33	-1.46	-1.30	-1.25	-1.35
7C. Development of	-1.55	-1.64	-1.55	-1.52	-1.54
7D. Development of	-0.47	-0.47	-0.36	-0.44	-0.52
7E. Development of	.18	.37	.47	.27	.06
7F. Development of	.43	.70	.69	.68	.25
7G. Prioritizing preservation	1.64	1.69	1.69	1.63	1.62
7H. Resort-style	-0.99	-1.10	-0.97	-0.93	-0.99
7I. Restriction of	1.17	1.40	1.20	1.21	1.13
7J. Restriction of residential	1.09	1.46	1.20	1.09	1.01

Comparisons of Column Means^a

	Length of Residence			
	Five years or less	Six to ten years	Eleven to nineteen years	Twenty years or more
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
7A. Development of additional alpine ski-lifts				
7B. Development of condominiums and townhomes				
7C. Development of fractional ownership residences and time-shares				
7D. Development of recreational and tourist-driven businesses				
7E. Development of single-family homes				
7F. Development of year-round businesses		D	D	
7G. Prioritizing preservation of the environment over commercial and residential developments				
7H. Resort-style developments, such as lodges and hotels				
7I. Restriction of commercial development projects to limit growth				
7J. Restriction of residential development projects to limit growth				

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

		Outdoor Activities			
		Total	Alpine skiing	Cross-country skiing	Other
8. Based on your personal experience, how would you rate road conditions and traffic flow during peak travel days, such as winter weekends and summer holidays?	Total	582	458	375	582
	Excellent	20 3.4%	15 3.3%	11 2.9%	20 3.4%
	Good	164 28.2%	124 27.1%	105 28.0%	164 28.2%
	Fair	231 39.7%	182 39.7%	144 38.4%	231 39.7%
	Poor	158 27.1%	131 28.6%	111 29.6%	158 27.1%
	DK/NA	9 1.5%	6 1.3%	4 1.1%	9 1.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^a

		Outdoor Activities		
		Alpine skiing	Cross-country skiing	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
8. Based on your personal experience, how would you rate road conditions and traffic flow during peak travel days, such as winter weekends and summer holidays?	Excellent			
	Good			
	Fair			
	Poor			
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

		Age				
		Total	18 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 or over
8. Based on your personal experience, how would you rate road conditions and traffic flow during peak travel days, such as winter weekends and summer holidays?	Total	571	79	155	182	155
	Excellent	20 3.5%	0 .0%	9 5.8%	5 2.7%	6 3.9%
	Good	162 28.4%	28 35.4%	39 25.2%	49 26.9%	46 29.7%
	Fair	224 39.2%	25 31.6%	61 39.4%	81 44.5%	57 36.8%
	Poor	156 27.3%	25 31.6%	45 29.0%	44 24.2%	42 27.1%
	DK/NA	9 1.6%	1 1.3%	1 .6%	3 1.6%	4 2.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^b

		Age			
		18 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 or over
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
8. Based on your personal experience, how would you rate road conditions and traffic flow during peak travel days, such as winter weekends and summer holidays?	Excellent	a			
	Good				
	Fair				
	Poor				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

		Household Income					
		Total	Less than \$75,000	\$75,000 to \$99,999	\$100,000 to \$149,999	\$150,000 to \$199,999	\$200,000 or higher
8. Based on your personal experience, how would you rate road conditions and traffic flow during peak travel days, such as winter weekends and summer holidays?	Total	497	84	73	118	64	158
	Excellent	19 3.8%	0 .0%	1 1.4%	5 4.2%	5 7.8%	8 5.1%
	Good	147 29.6%	30 35.7%	26 35.6%	27 22.9%	17 26.6%	47 29.7%
	Fair	184 37.0%	26 31.0%	22 30.1%	54 45.8%	24 37.5%	58 36.7%
	Poor	140 28.2%	24 28.6%	22 30.1%	31 26.3%	18 28.1%	45 28.5%
	DK/NA	7 1.4%	4 4.8%	2 2.7%	1 .8%	0 .0%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^b

		Household Income				
		Less than \$75,000	\$75,000 to \$99,999	\$100,000 to \$149,999	\$150,000 to \$199,999	\$200,000 or higher
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
8. Based on your personal experience, how would you rate road conditions and traffic flow during peak travel days, such as winter weekends and summer holidays?	Excellent	. ^a				
	Good					
	Fair					
	Poor					
	DK/NA				. ^a	. ^a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

		Resident Status		
		Total	Full-time	Part-time
8. Based on your personal experience, how would you rate road conditions and traffic flow during peak travel days, such as winter weekends and summer holidays?	Total	575	62	513
	Excellent	20 3.5%	3 4.8%	17 3.3%
	Good	163 28.3%	11 17.7%	152 29.6%
	Fair	226 39.3%	18 29.0%	208 40.5%
	Poor	157 27.3%	29 46.8%	128 25.0%
	DK/NA	9 1.6%	1 1.6%	8 1.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^a

		Resident Status	
		Full-time	Part-time
		(A)	(B)
8. Based on your personal experience, how would you rate road conditions and traffic flow during peak travel days, such as winter weekends and summer holidays?	Excellent		
	Good		A
	Fair		
	Poor	B	
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

		Length of Residence				
		Total	Five years or less	Six to ten years	Eleven to nineteen years	Twenty years or more
8. Based on your personal experience, how would you rate road conditions and traffic flow during peak travel days, such as winter weekends and summer holidays?	Total	575	49	89	94	343
	Excellent	20 3.5%	3 6.1%	5 5.6%	4 4.3%	8 2.3%
	Good	163 28.3%	24 49.0%	20 22.5%	22 23.4%	97 28.3%
	Fair	226 39.3%	15 30.6%	35 39.3%	43 45.7%	133 38.8%
	Poor	157 27.3%	7 14.3%	28 31.5%	25 26.6%	97 28.3%
	DK/NA	9 1.6%	0 .0%	1 1.1%	0 .0%	8 2.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^b

		Length of Residence			
		Five years or less	Six to ten years	Eleven to nineteen years	Twenty years or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
8. Based on your personal experience, how would you rate road conditions and traffic flow during peak travel days, such as winter weekends and summer holidays?	Excellent				
	Good	B C D			
	Fair				
	Poor				
	DK/NA	. ^a		. ^a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

	Outdoor Activities			
	Total	Alpine skiing	Cross-country skiing	Other
9A. The addition of a freeway access point from I-80	-.64	-.68	-.66	-.64
9B. The addition of traffic	.01	-.04	.11	.01
9C. The addition of traffic	.39	.48	.50	.39
9D. The creation of an	-.24	-.23	-.16	-.24
9E. An extension of the	.93	1.00	1.07	.93
9F. An extension of the	.86	.90	.98	.86
9G. An increase in the	-.50	-.49	-.51	-.50
9H. An increase in traffic	.56	.57	.58	.56
9I. The reinstatement of	1.30	1.34	1.41	1.30

Comparisons of Column Means^a

	Outdoor Activities		
	Alpine skiing	Cross-country skiing	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)
9A. The addition of a freeway access point from I-80			
9B. The addition of traffic lights at high-use intersections, such as Soda Springs Road and Donner Pass Road.		A	
9C. The addition of traffic management personnel at high-use intersections, such as Soda Springs Road and Donner Pass Road.	C	C	
9D. The creation of an overpass to separate traffic from the railroad crossing.			
9E. An extension of the Capitol Corridor train line to serve the Donner Summit area.		C	

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

Comparisons of Column Means^a

	Outdoor Activities		
	Alpine skiing	Cross-country skiing	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)
9F. An extension of the Truckee public transit system to serve the Donner Summit area.		C	
9G. An increase in the number of lanes on Donner Pass Road at I-80.			
9H. An increase in traffic law enforcement throughout the Donner Summit area.			
9I. The reinstatement of resort shuttle bus service during the winter and summer holiday weekends.		C	

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

	Age				
	Total	18 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 or over
9A. The addition of a freeway access point from I-80	-.64	-.39	-.88	-.73	-.43
9B. The addition of traffic	.02	.10	-.07	.03	.05
9C. The addition of traffic	.39	.69	.46	.37	.19
9D. The creation of an	-.24	-.22	-.40	-.17	-.16
9E. An extension of the	.94	1.16	.96	.91	.85
9F. An extension of the	.87	1.19	.94	.85	.66
9G. An increase in the	-.51	-.49	-.71	-.46	-.36
9H. An increase in traffic	.55	.49	.42	.51	.78
9I. The reinstatement of	1.30	1.42	1.32	1.35	1.15

Comparisons of Column Means^a

	Age			
	18 to 44 (A)	45 to 54 (B)	55 to 64 (C)	65 or over (D)
9A. The addition of a freeway access point from I-80				B
9B. The addition of traffic lights at high-use intersections, such as Soda Springs Road and Donner Pass Road.				
9C. The addition of traffic management personnel at high-use intersections, such as Soda Springs Road and Donner Pass Road.				
9D. The creation of an overpass to separate traffic from the railroad crossing.				
9E. An extension of the Capitol Corridor train line to serve the Donner Summit area.				
9F. An extension of the Truckee public transit system to serve the Donner Summit area.	D			
9G. An increase in the number of lanes on Donner Pass Road at I-80.				
9H. An increase in traffic law enforcement throughout the Donner Summit area.				
9I. The reinstatement of resort shuttle bus service during the winter and summer holiday weekends.				

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

	Household Income					
	Total	Less than \$75,000	\$75,000 to \$99,999	\$100,000 to \$149,999	\$150,000 to \$199,999	\$200,000 or higher
9A. The addition of a freeway access point from I-80	-0.61	-0.53	-0.66	-0.63	-0.69	-0.58
9B. The addition of traffic	0.03	-0.08	-0.14	0.09	0.02	0.11
9C. The addition of traffic	0.43	0.25	0.14	0.60	0.28	0.59
9D. The creation of an	-0.21	-0.23	-0.45	-0.17	-0.60	0.03
9E. An extension of the	0.95	0.65	0.99	1.24	0.94	0.88
9F. An extension of the	0.91	0.73	1.08	1.17	0.66	0.82
9G. An increase in the	-0.48	-0.61	-0.61	-0.33	-0.73	-0.35
9H. An increase in traffic	0.56	0.53	0.55	0.75	0.54	0.45
9I. The reinstatement of	1.29	1.20	1.35	1.48	1.17	1.23

Comparisons of Column Means^a

	Household Income				
	Less than \$75,000	\$75,000 to \$99,999	\$100,000 to \$149,999	\$150,000 to \$199,999	\$200,000 or higher
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
9A. The addition of a freeway access point from I-80					
9B. The addition of traffic lights at high-use intersections, such as Soda Springs Road and Donner Pass Road.					
9C. The addition of traffic management personnel at high-use intersections, such as Soda Springs Road and Donner Pass Road.					
9D. The creation of an overpass to separate traffic from the railroad crossing.					

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

Comparisons of Column Means^a

	Household Income				
	Less than \$75,000	\$75,000 to \$99,999	\$100,000 to \$149,999	\$150,000 to \$199,999	\$200,000 or higher
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
9E. An extension of the Capitol Corridor train line to serve the Donner Summit area. 9F. An extension of the Truckee public transit system to serve the Donner Summit area. 9G. An increase in the number of lanes on Donner Pass Road at I-80. 9H. An increase in traffic law enforcement throughout the Donner Summit area. 9I. The reinstatement of resort shuttle bus service during the winter and summer holiday weekends.			A		

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

	Resident Status		
	Total	Full-time	Part-time
9A. The addition of a freeway access point from I-80	-.65	-.44	-.67
9B. The addition of traffic	.01	-.03	.02
9C. The addition of traffic	.39	1.00	.31
9D. The creation of an	-.24	-.10	-.26
9E. An extension of the	.94	1.16	.91
9F. An extension of the	.87	1.26	.82
9G. An increase in the	-.50	-.69	-.48
9H. An increase in traffic	.56	.85	.52
9I. The reinstatement of	1.30	1.66	1.25

Comparisons of Column Means^a

	Resident Status	
	Full-time	Part-time
	(A)	(B)
9A. The addition of a freeway access point from I-80		
9B. The addition of traffic lights at high-use intersections, such as Soda Springs Road and Donner Pass Road.		
9C. The addition of traffic management personnel at high-use intersections, such as Soda Springs Road and Donner Pass Road.	B	
9D. The creation of an overpass to separate traffic from the railroad crossing.		
9E. An extension of the Capitol Corridor train line to serve the Donner Summit area.		
9F. An extension of the Truckee public transit system to serve the Donner Summit area.	B	
9G. An increase in the number of lanes on Donner Pass Road at I-80.		
9H. An increase in traffic law enforcement throughout the Donner Summit area.		
9I. The reinstatement of resort shuttle bus service during the winter and summer holiday weekends.	B	

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

	Length of Residence				
	Total	Five years or less	Six to ten years	Eleven to nineteen years	Twenty years or more
9A. The addition of a freeway access point from I-80	-0.64	-0.83	-0.60	-0.63	-0.63
9B. The addition of traffic	0.01	-0.10	0.05	0.24	-0.04
9C. The addition of traffic	0.39	0.19	0.67	0.39	0.34
9D. The creation of an	-0.24	-0.30	-0.26	-0.04	-0.28
9E. An extension of the	0.94	0.89	0.90	1.16	0.90
9F. An extension of the	0.86	0.67	0.99	1.01	0.82
9G. An increase in the	-0.50	-0.81	-0.70	-0.46	-0.42
9H. An increase in traffic	0.55	0.23	0.49	0.48	0.63
9I. The reinstatement of	1.29	1.14	1.32	1.38	1.29

Comparisons of Column Means^a

	Length of Residence			
	Five years or less	Six to ten years	Eleven to nineteen years	Twenty years or more
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
9A. The addition of a freeway access point from I-80				
9B. The addition of traffic lights at high-use intersections, such as Soda Springs Road and Donner Pass Road.				
9C. The addition of traffic management personnel at high-use intersections, such as Soda Springs Road and Donner Pass Road.				
9D. The creation of an overpass to separate traffic from the railroad crossing.				

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

Comparisons of Column Means^a

	Length of Residence			
	Five years or less	Six to ten years	Eleven to nineteen years	Twenty years or more
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
9E. An extension of the Capitol Corridor train line to serve the Donner Summit area.				
9F. An extension of the Truckee public transit system to serve the Donner Summit area.				
9G. An increase in the number of lanes on Donner Pass Road at I-80.				
9H. An increase in traffic law enforcement throughout the Donner Summit area.				
9I. The reinstatement of resort shuttle bus service during the winter and summer holiday weekends.				

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

	Outdoor Activities			
	Total	Alpine skiing	Cross-country skiing	Other
10A. Improving cable or satellite television service.	1.42	1.42	1.36	1.42
10B. Improving cellular	2.03	2.05	2.04	2.03
10C. Improving emergency	2.18	2.19	2.17	2.18
10D. Improving County	1.89	1.89	1.82	1.89
10E. Improving flood	1.56	1.55	1.57	1.56
10F. Improving road	2.16	2.16	2.15	2.16
10G. Making high speed	1.87	1.91	1.98	1.87
10H. Making natural gas	1.61	1.65	1.63	1.61
10I. Moving electric, phone,	1.80	1.85	1.86	1.80
10J. Surveying water	2.46	2.51	2.51	2.46

Comparisons of Column Means^a

	Outdoor Activities		
	Alpine skiing	Cross-country skiing	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)
10A. Improving cable or satellite television service.			
10B. Improving cellular phone coverage/reception.			
10C. Improving emergency communication services, used to inform the public during natural disasters and other emergencies.			
10D. Improving County snow removal services.			
10E. Improving flood control measures.			
10F. Improving road maintenance and repair.			
10G. Making high speed internet access available.		C	
10H. Making natural gas utility service available.			
10I. Moving electric, phone, and cable service wires underground.			
10J. Surveying water quality and water supply limits.	C		

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

	Age				
	Total	18 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 or over
10A. Improving cable or satellite television service.	1.42	1.44	1.44	1.46	1.35
10B. Improving cellular	2.04	2.08	2.05	2.08	1.94
10C. Improving emergency	2.19	2.27	2.19	2.14	2.22
10D. Improving County	1.89	1.99	1.84	1.83	1.97
10E. Improving flood	1.56	1.70	1.58	1.58	1.44
10F. Improving road	2.17	2.08	2.05	2.24	2.25
10G. Making high speed	1.87	2.16	2.02	1.89	1.55
10H. Making natural gas	1.60	1.82	1.56	1.66	1.47
10I. Moving electric, phone,	1.80	1.94	1.90	1.81	1.62
10J. Surveying water	2.47	2.41	2.55	2.45	2.45

Comparisons of Column Means^a

	Age			
	18 to 44 (A)	45 to 54 (B)	55 to 64 (C)	65 or over (D)
10A. Improving cable or satellite television service.				
10B. Improving cellular phone coverage/reception.				
10C. Improving emergency communication services, used to inform the public during natural disasters and other emergencies.				
10D. Improving County snow removal services.				
10E. Improving flood control measures.				
10F. Improving road maintenance and repair.				
10G. Making high speed internet access available.	D	D	D	
10H. Making natural gas utility service available.				
10I. Moving electric, phone, and cable service wires underground.				
10J. Surveying water quality and water supply limits.				

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

	Household Income					
	Total	Less than \$75,000	\$75,000 to \$99,999	\$100,000 to \$149,999	\$150,000 to \$199,999	\$200,000 or higher
10A. Improving cable or satellite television service.	1.45	1.24	1.73	1.55	1.09	1.52
10B. Improving cellular	2.03	1.79	2.15	2.04	1.79	2.17
10C. Improving emergency	2.20	2.11	2.30	2.25	2.10	2.21
10D. Improving County	1.90	1.84	2.11	2.01	1.84	1.77
10E. Improving flood	1.59	1.49	1.67	1.67	1.59	1.56
10F. Improving road	2.17	2.23	2.27	2.20	2.27	2.04
10G. Making high speed	1.87	1.43	1.90	1.94	1.77	2.06
10H. Making natural gas	1.63	1.41	1.58	1.69	1.58	1.74
10I. Moving electric, phone,	1.83	1.71	1.82	1.74	1.79	1.97
10J. Surveying water	2.47	2.51	2.44	2.53	2.44	2.44

Comparisons of Column Means^a

	Household Income				
	Less than \$75,000	\$75,000 to \$99,999	\$100,000 to \$149,999	\$150,000 to \$199,999	\$200,000 or higher
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
10A. Improving cable or satellite television service.		A D	D		
10B. Improving cellular phone coverage/reception.					A
10C. Improving emergency communication services, used to inform the public during natural disasters and other emergencies.					
10D. Improving County snow removal services.		E			
10E. Improving flood control measures.					
10F. Improving road maintenance and repair.					
10G. Making high speed internet access available.		A	A		A
10H. Making natural gas utility service available.					
10I. Moving electric, phone, and cable service wires underground.					
10J. Surveying water quality and water supply limits.					

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

	Resident Status		
	Total	Full-time	Part-time
10A. Improving cable or satellite television service.	1.42	1.67	1.39
10B. Improving cellular	2.04	2.15	2.02
10C. Improving emergency	2.19	2.07	2.21
10D. Improving County	1.89	2.03	1.88
10E. Improving flood	1.56	1.53	1.56
10F. Improving road	2.17	2.33	2.15
10G. Making high speed	1.87	2.43	1.81
10H. Making natural gas	1.60	1.40	1.63
10I. Moving electric, phone,	1.80	1.95	1.78
10J. Surveying water	2.47	2.49	2.46

Comparisons of Column Means^a

	Resident Status	
	Full-time	Part-time
	(A)	(B)
10A. Improving cable or satellite television service.	B	
10B. Improving cellular phone coverage/reception.		
10C. Improving emergency communication services, used to inform the public during natural disasters and other emergencies.		
10D. Improving County snow removal services.		
10E. Improving flood control measures.		
10F. Improving road maintenance and repair.		
10G. Making high speed internet access available.	B	
10H. Making natural gas utility service available.		
10I. Moving electric, phone, and cable service wires underground.		
10J. Surveying water quality and water supply limits.		

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

	Length of Residence				
	Total	Five years or less	Six to ten years	Eleven to nineteen years	Twenty years or more
10A. Improving cable or satellite television service.	1.42	1.46	1.55	1.49	1.36
10B. Improving cellular	2.03	2.06	2.17	2.01	2.00
10C. Improving emergency	2.19	2.22	2.28	2.19	2.17
10D. Improving County	1.89	1.87	1.87	1.92	1.89
10E. Improving flood	1.56	1.67	1.58	1.55	1.54
10F. Improving road	2.17	2.02	2.03	2.26	2.20
10G. Making high speed	1.87	2.19	2.00	1.96	1.77
10H. Making natural gas	1.61	1.81	1.76	1.62	1.53
10I. Moving electric, phone,	1.80	1.89	2.06	1.85	1.70
10J. Surveying water	2.47	2.65	2.54	2.35	2.45

Comparisons of Column Means^a

	Length of Residence			
	Five years or less	Six to ten years	Eleven to nineteen years	Twenty years or more
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
10A. Improving cable or satellite television service. 10B. Improving cellular phone coverage/reception. 10C. Improving emergency communication services, used to inform the public during natural disasters and other emergencies. 10D. Improving County snow removal services. 10E. Improving flood control measures. 10F. Improving road maintenance and repair. 10G. Making high speed internet access available. 10H. Making natural gas utility service available. 10I. Moving electric, phone, and cable service wires underground. 10J. Surveying water quality and water supply limits.		D		

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

		Outdoor Activities			
		Total	Alpine skiing	Cross-country skiing	Other
12. Where do you, or members of your household, usually go to participate in outdoor activities?	Total	582	458	375	582
	Private land owned by myself or my family	243 41.8%	183 40.0%	155 41.3%	243 41.8%
	Private land owned by people I know	102 17.5%	83 18.1%	64 17.1%	102 17.5%
	Private land – don't know the owner	76 13.1%	62 13.5%	58 15.5%	76 13.1%
	Forest service land	441 75.8%	359 78.4%	307 81.9%	441 75.8%
	Public land	428 73.5%	356 77.7%	294 78.4%	428 73.5%
	Resorts or other commercially owned land	380 65.3%	324 70.7%	253 67.5%	380 65.3%
	Don't know the designation of the land	69 11.9%	56 12.2%	45 12.0%	69 11.9%
	Other	11 1.9%	5 1.1%	9 2.4%	11 1.9%
	DK/NA	2 .3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 .3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^b

		Outdoor Activities		
		Alpine skiing	Cross-country skiing	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
12. Where do you, or members of your household, usually go to participate in outdoor activities?	Private land owned by myself or my family			
	Private land owned by people I know			
	Private land – don't know the owner			
	Forest service land	C	A C	
	Public land	C	C	
	Resorts or other commercially owned land	C		
	Don't know the designation of the land			
	Other		A	A
	DK/NA	. ^a	. ^a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

		Age				
		Total	18 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 or over
12. Where do you, or members of your household, usually go to participate in outdoor activities?	Total	571	79	155	182	155
	Private land owned by myself or my family	239 41.9%	35 44.3%	53 34.2%	83 45.6%	68 43.9%
	Private land owned by people I know	100 17.5%	13 16.5%	28 18.1%	36 19.8%	23 14.8%
	Private land – don't know the owner	75 13.1%	13 16.5%	24 15.5%	23 12.6%	15 9.7%
	Forest service land	431 75.5%	62 78.5%	123 79.4%	134 73.6%	112 72.3%
	Public land	419 73.4%	65 82.3%	117 75.5%	131 72.0%	106 68.4%
	Resorts or other commercially owned land	375 65.7%	56 70.9%	111 71.6%	117 64.3%	91 58.7%
	Don't know the designation of the land	68 11.9%	11 13.9%	22 14.2%	22 12.1%	13 8.4%
	Other	11 1.9%	0 .0%	3 1.9%	4 2.2%	4 2.6%
	DK/NA	2 .4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .5%	1 .6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^b

		Age			
		18 to 44 (A)	45 to 54 (B)	55 to 64 (C)	65 or over (D)
12. Where do you, or members of your household, usually go to participate in outdoor activities?	Private land owned by myself or my family				
	Private land owned by people I know				
	Private land – don't know the owner				
	Forest service land				
	Public land				
	Resorts or other commercially owned land				
	Don't know the designation of the land				
	Other	.a			
DK/NA	.a	.a			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

		Household Income					
		Total	Less than \$75,000	\$75,000 to \$99,999	\$100,000 to \$149,999	\$150,000 to \$199,999	\$200,000 or higher
12. Where do you, or members of your household, usually go to participate in outdoor activities?	Total	497	84	73	118	64	158
	Private land owned by myself or my family	207 41.6%	39 46.4%	33 45.2%	44 37.3%	25 39.1%	66 41.8%
	Private land owned by people I know	92 18.5%	22 26.2%	14 19.2%	23 19.5%	9 14.1%	24 15.2%
	Private land – don't know the owner	61 12.3%	8 9.5%	10 13.7%	16 13.6%	12 18.8%	15 9.5%
	Forest service land	373 75.1%	62 73.8%	51 69.9%	94 79.7%	49 76.6%	117 74.1%
	Public land	363 73.0%	61 72.6%	50 68.5%	91 77.1%	49 76.6%	112 70.9%
	Resorts or other commercially owned land	321 64.6%	45 53.6%	42 57.5%	80 67.8%	37 57.8%	117 74.1%
	Don't know the designation of the land	57 11.5%	9 10.7%	9 12.3%	8 6.8%	9 14.1%	22 13.9%
	Other	10 2.0%	1 1.2%	2 2.7%	6 5.1%	1 1.6%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	1 .2%	1 1.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^b

		Household Income				
		Less than \$75,000	\$75,000 to \$99,999	\$100,000 to \$149,999	\$150,000 to \$199,999	\$200,000 or higher
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
12. Where do you, or members of your household, usually go to participate in outdoor activities?	Private land owned by myself or my family					
	Private land owned by people I know					
	Private land – don't know the owner					
	Forest service land					
	Public land					
	Resorts or other commercially owned land					A
	Don't know the designation of the land					.a
	Other					.a
	DK/NA		.a	.a	.a	.a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

		Resident Status		
		Total	Full-time	Part-time
12. Where do you, or members of your household, usually go to participate in outdoor activities?	Total	575	62	513
	Private land owned by myself or my family	240 41.7%	25 40.3%	215 41.9%
	Private land owned by people I know	100 17.4%	21 33.9%	79 15.4%
	Private land – don't know the owner	75 13.0%	11 17.7%	64 12.5%
	Forest service land	435 75.7%	55 88.7%	380 74.1%
	Public land	422 73.4%	51 82.3%	371 72.3%
	Resorts or other commercially owned land	378 65.7%	43 69.4%	335 65.3%
	Don't know the designation of the land	68 11.8%	5 8.1%	63 12.3%
	Other	11 1.9%	2 3.2%	9 1.8%
	DK/NA	2 .3%	1 1.6%	1 .2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^a

		Resident Status	
		Full-time	Part-time
		(A)	(B)
12. Where do you, or members of your household, usually go to participate in outdoor activities?	Private land owned by myself or my family		
	Private land owned by people I know	B	
	Private land – don't know the owner		
	Forest service land	B	
	Public land		
	Resorts or other commercially owned land		
	Don't know the designation of the land		
	Other DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

		Length of Residence				
		Total	Five years or less	Six to ten years	Eleven to nineteen years	Twenty years or more
12. Where do you, or members of your household, usually go to participate in outdoor activities?	Total	575	49	89	94	343
	Private land owned by myself or my family	241 41.9%	17 34.7%	35 39.3%	27 28.7%	162 47.2%
	Private land owned by people I know	101 17.6%	1 2.0%	17 19.1%	9 9.6%	74 21.6%
	Private land – don't know the owner	76 13.2%	7 14.3%	11 12.4%	10 10.6%	48 14.0%
	Forest service land	435 75.7%	41 83.7%	67 75.3%	62 66.0%	265 77.3%
	Public land	422 73.4%	36 73.5%	70 78.7%	60 63.8%	256 74.6%
	Resorts or other commercially owned land	378 65.7%	31 63.3%	62 69.7%	67 71.3%	218 63.6%
	Don't know the designation of the land	69 12.0%	7 14.3%	14 15.7%	13 13.8%	35 10.2%
	Other	11 1.9%	0 .0%	1 1.1%	5 5.3%	5 1.5%
	DK/NA	2 .3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 .6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^b

		Length of Residence			
		Five years or less	Six to ten years	Eleven to nineteen years	Twenty years or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
12. Where do you, or members of your household, usually go to participate in outdoor activities?	Private land owned by myself or my family				C
	Private land owned by people I know		A		A
	Private land – don't know the owner				
	Forest service land				
	Public land				
	Resorts or other commercially owned land				
	Don't know the designation of the land				
	Other	.a			
DK/NA	.a	.a	.a		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.